RESEARCH

Open Access

HIV status and knowledge of cervical cancer among women in Ghana



Nancy Innocentia Ebu Enyan^{1*}, Sebastian Ken-Amoah², Derek Anamaale Tuoyire³, Kafui Patrick Akakpo⁴, Elizabeth Agyare⁵ and Dorcas Obiri-Yeboah^{6,7}

Abstract

Background Cervical cancer remains a disease of significant concern to women's health. The aim of this study was to identify predictors of knowledge of cervical cancer among women living with HIV and those with negative or unknown HIV status at the Cape Coast Teaching Hospital (CCTH).

Methods This study was based on a larger hospital-based analytical cross-sectional study conducted at the antiretroviral therapy (ART) and gynaecology clinics of the Cape Coast Teaching Hospital in Ghana. Participants were women living with HIV (WLHIV) and women without HIV or whose status was unknown, aged 25 to 65 years, seeking healthcare. Data were collected with a questionnaire and analysed using frequencies, percentages, Chi-square test, binary logistic regression and multivariate analysis.

Results The mean age was 39.5 years (\pm 9.8) and 47.2 years (\pm 10.7) for women without or unknown HIV and WLHIV, respectively. HIV-negative/unknown women were mostly nulligravida (76%) and nullipara (69%), while WLHIV mostly had pregnancies (76%) and children (84%) in excess of seven. Knowledge of cervical cancer was statistically significantly associated with HIV status (X² = 75.65; *P*-value = 0.001). The odds of having knowledge of cervical cancer for women considered to be negative/unknown for HIV were about three times (AOR = 3.07; 95% CI = 1.47, 6.41) higher than their compatriots with HIV. Women with post-secondary/tertiary (AOR = 4.45; 95% CI = 2.11, 9.35) education had significantly higher odds of having knowledge of cervical cancer than those with no education or those with just primary education.

Conclusions To improve knowledge of cervical cancer among women, an intentionally structured health education programme is needed, particularly for WLHIV, those with lower levels of education and the unemployed.

Keywords Cervical cancer, HIV, Ghana, Knowledge, Women living with HIV

*Correspondence:

Nancy Innocentia Ebu Enyan

nebu@ucc.edu.gh

¹Department of Adult Health, School of Nursing and Midwifery, University of Cape Coast, Cape Coast, Ghana

²Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, School of Medical Sciences, University of Cape Coast, Cape Coast, Ghana

³Department of Community Medicine, School of Medical Sciences, University of Cape Coast, Cape Coast, Ghana ⁶Department of Microbiology, School of Medical Sciences, University of Cape Coast, Cape Coast, Ghana

⁷Directorate of Research, Innovation and Consultancy, University of Cape Coast, Cape Coast, Ghana



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

⁴Department of Pathology, School of Medical Sciences, University of Cape Coast, Cape Coast, Ghana ⁵Cape Coast Teaching Hospital, Cape Coast, Ghana

Background

Cervical cancer is the 4th leading cause of cancer worldwide with an incidence of 6.5% and a mortality of 7.7% [1]. About 80% of these cases occur in Sub-Saharan Africa [1–3]. In Ghana the crude incidence rate of cervical cancer is 18.3, with 2,200 women dying of cervical cancer in 2019 [4]. Persistent infection with high-risk Human Papillomavirus (hr-HPV) has been shown to be causally linked to cervical cancer, as well as ano-rectal and oropharyngeal cancer [5–7]. High-risk HPV includes HPV 16 and 18, and others such as HPV31,33, 45, 52 and 58 [8, 9].

Women with HIV have been shown to have a higher incidence of cervical cancer with poorer treatment outcomes [10]. This is because their HIV status suppresses their immunity, thus predisposing them to persistent infection with hrHPV, leading to cervical dysplasia and cervical cancer [7, 11, 12]. A study by Obiri-Yeboah et al. in 2017 showed that women living with HIV (WLHIV) were significantly more frequently infected with HPV and twice more likely to have high-risk HPV and multiple hrHPV genotypes [7].

Infection with hr-HPV causes changes in the cervix, which when treated early can prevent the development of cervical cancer. However, since such changes do not show symptoms, they are most often missed by women and they, eventually, present when the cervical changes have resulted in cervical cancer [13]. To prevent the development of the disease, it is important to prevent hrHPV infection and to have regular screening to detect persistent hrHPV infection and early cervical pre-cancer changes [13]. Strategies employed to prevent infection with HPV include abstinence from sexual intercourse, the use of protective barriers, such as condoms, during sex and vaccination with the HPV vaccine [14-16]. Although vaccines are available worldwide, its use is limited in resource-constrained settings such as Ghana where national vaccination programs are absent. This has been attributed to economic concerns, although various studies have shown the cost-effectiveness of vaccination with screening programmes [17].

Ghana has no organised national screening programme for cervical cancer prevention despite the presence of hospital-based screening centers that offer opportunistic screening services [4]. To prevent cervical cancer, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommends 3 levels of prevention, namely: primary prevention, which recommends vaccination that protects against HPV-16 and HPV-18; secondary prevention, which includes screening and treatment of precancerous lesions; tertiary prevention, which involves diagnosis and treatment of invasive cervical cancer [18]. Additionally, in 2021, the WHO released a Global strategy to accelerate the elimination of cervical cancer as a public health problem [19]. Despite the recommendation for screening, uptake of screening programmes by both women living with HIV and the general population in lower-middle-income countries like Ghana is low [20–22]. Due to the higher incidence of cervical cancer among WLHIV, they require more frequent screening for HPV infection [10]. However, studies done in Ghana show that this is not the case [23]. Poor knowledge of cervical cancer and the cost of screening has been shown to be associated with non-patronage of the screening programmes [22, 24]. Other barriers to regular screening of women include fear of pain, embarrassment, non-availability of facilities to do the screening and the fear of a cancer diagnosis [13].

The key to increasing the uptake of various screening strategies lies in improving public knowledge and, understanding of the disease, the benefits of early treatment and follow-up care. This is crucial, especially, in LMIC where cost and accessibility may be a barrier to screening [25]. Surveys of WLHIV across Sub-Saharan Africa show a great variation in their knowledge and awareness of cervical cancer. A survey in Ethiopia showed that although cervical cancer (CC) is the second most frequent cancer in the country, only 34.2% o WLHIV knew about cervical cancer [26]. This study sought to determine the predictors of knowledge of HPV and cervical cancer among WLHIV in comparison to those with negative or unknown HIV status to inform the development of effective public health interventions on cervical cancer prevention among diverse women.

Methods

The study design, population and setting

This study was based on a larger hospital-based analytical cross-sectional study that was conducted from November 2020 to April, 2021. The project aimed to establish comprehensive and sustainable cervical cancer prevention services at the Cape Coast Teaching Hospital (CCTH), in Ghana. The project involved 330 WLHIV who were receiving care at CCTH aged 25–65. The WHO 2021 guidelines indicate that screening should begin at 25 years for women living with HIV and 30 years for the general population of women [27]. This study excluded those who were pregnant, had undergone total hysterectomy, local treatment for cervical lesions or had never engaged in peno-vaginal sexual intercourse. Women with prior screening exposure were excluded. A prior publication with further methodological details of the said project focused on high-risk human papillomavirus genotype distribution among women living with HIV [28]. Therefore, the current study employs a case-control study design as it adds a comparison group of women with negative or unknown HIV status who sought care at the gynaecology clinics of the same facility (CCTH) over the same period.

Recruitment of this comparison group was premised on a 1:1 distribution using a simple random sampling technique. As in the case of WLHIV [28], the HIV negative/unknown women were asked to pick from a box with papers having a "yes" or a "no" written on them during each clinic day. The number of women registered on each clinic day constituted the sampling frame based on which the recruitments were conducted. This strategy ensured an equal chance of eligible women being recruited, with not more than 25 women recruited per week. However, at the time of conducting analysis for the current study which focuses on knowledge of cervical cancer, only 281 HIV negative women had complete information for the purpose of the current analyses, resulting in a final analytical sample consisted of 611 women (330 WLHIV and 281 HIV negative/unknown).

The CCTH is a tertiary health delivery facility and a major referral centre for the Central and Western regions of Ghana. The ART clinic provides a wide range of services to clients, while the Obstetrics and Gynaecology department has trained and dedicated midwives, who perform daily cervical cancer screening, using methods such as cytology and hrHPV testing. The Department also conducts colposcopy, biopsy and other excision procedures to aid in definitive diagnosis and treatment [29].

Data collection instrument

To collect data for this study, the questionnaire was developed based on similar instruments used in previous studies [23, 30, 31] (see supplementary file). The instrument had two subscales. One of the subscales was designed to evaluate participants' knowledge of HPV and contained six questions, namely, have you ever heard about HPV?; Can men be infected with HPV?; How is HPV transmitted?; Does HPV cause cervical cancer?; Did you know of HPV vaccination before today?; Can a person get HPV vaccination in Ghana? In response to the question on HPV transmission, respondents were given three options to choose from: respiratory droplets, orofaecal and sexual contact.

The other subscale was designed to evaluate participants' knowledge of cervical cancer. This subscale had seven questions, including whether cervical cancer is always fatal, even when detected at an early stage, whether using herbs in the vagina increases the likelihood of developing cervical cancer and whether cervical cancer can be prevented. Other questions on this subscale addressed knowledge of cervical cancer screening, such as whether only women who have vaginal complaints should have cervical screening and whether cervical screening is easily accessible in Ghana? Response options for both subscales included "Yes", "No" and "Don't Know". The study also collected socio-demographic information, such as age, occupation, religion, marital status and level of education, number of pregnancies, number of children, lifetime sexual partners, age at first sex, sexual activeness, condom use, ever-use of hormonal contraceptive, current hormonal contraceptive use, age at menarche and smoking status. The instrument was pretested at the general OPD and the comments that emanated were used to revise and finalise it.

Data collection procedure

Participants were recruited at the gynaecology clinic from Monday to Friday of every week and at the ART clinic on Thursdays. Four trained nurses collected the data. At the gynaecology clinic, data was collected after the women had been attended to by the Gynaecologist, while for those with HIV, data was collected after they had been seen by either a prescriber or a doctor. Following the data collection, participants were provided with either a 5–7-minute educational video clip on various aspects of cervical cancer to watch and/or pamphlets to read, depending on their preference. Both educational materials were available in English and Fante, the local language.

Data management and analysis

The completed questionnaires were entered using Microsoft Access software designed screens and subsequently exported to STATA 16.0 for further management and analysis. Data cleaning involved consistency checks and assessment for outliers to ensure data quality and integrity. The dependent variable for the study was knowledge of cervical cancer. This variable was constructed as a binary outcome based on participants' total scores from their correct responses to a set of 23 questions on cervical cancer. Each correct response attracted a score of "1", while each incorrect response was scored "0". Internal reliability of the items measuring knowledge of cervical cancer (see questionnaire) was assessed using Cronbach's alpha based on STATA's "alpha varlist [if] [in] [, options]" command. The analysis showed a Cronbach's alpha value of 0.79 which exceeded the recommended threshold of 0.70 [32] suggesting a strong internal consistency of the measures of knowledge of cervical cancer. Participants with a score below the mean score were categorized as having insufficient knowledge of cervical cancer (coded "0"), while those who scored equal to or above the mean were categorized as having sufficient knowledge of cervical cancer (coded "1"). The main independent variable considered was HIV status (positive vs. negatives/ unknown). Other independent variables were the various aforementioned background characteristic of the participants.

The analysis for the study was conducted at two [2] levels. The first level involved the use of descriptive statistical techniques to describe the variables of the study.

The analysis at this stage was stratified by HIV status to enable the comparison of knowledge of cervical cancer, as well as the various other characteristics, between those with HIV and those without/unknown HIV status. Means, frequencies and proportions were mainly used with the main test statistic being the Chi-squared test at p<.05. Regression analyses were conducted at the next level using two [2] binary logistic regression models to determine the effect of HIV status on knowledge of cervical cancer. The bivariate relationship between HIV status and knowledge of cervical cancer was assessed in model 1. This was followed with a multivariable model aimed at estimating the net effect of HIV status on knowledge of cervical cancer (model 2). As such, all the other background characteristics were included in the second model together with HIV status to determine their overall iterative effect on knowledge of cervical cancer. This allowed for the assessment of the independence of the relationship between HIV status and knowledge of cervical cancer initially found in model 1. Model 2 also allowed for the estimation of material effect of the other factors on knowledge of cervical cancer. The regression coefficients results were exponentiated into odds ratios with statistical significance set at p < .05 for ease of interpretation.

Results

Characteristics of the respondents by HIV status

Of the 611 respondents included in the analyses, 281 (46%) were HIV negative/unknown while 330 (54%) were WLHIV. As indicated in Table 1, the mean age was 39.5 years (\pm 9.8) and 47.2 years (\pm 10.7) for women without HIV and WLHIV, respectively. HIV negative/unknown women were mostly aged 25–34 years (72%), skilled (70%), Christian (48%), married/cohabiting (58%) and educated beyond secondary level (76%). On the other hand, a greater proportion of the counterparts with HIV were aged 55 years and older, unskilled (70%), Muslim (89%), widowed/divorced (86%) and had up to primary level education (83%).

With respect to the sexual and reproductive characteristics of respondents, HIV negative/unknown women were mostly nulligravida (76%) and nullipara (69%), while WLHIV mostly had pregnancies (76%) and children (84%) in excess of seven. Also, a greater proportion of those without HIV had their sexual debut later than 25 years, were sexually active (55%) with 1–2 lifetime number of sexual partners, and used no condoms (81%) during their last sexual activity. In contrast, most WLHIV had their sexual debut by age 16 years (65%), were not sexually active (55%) at the time of the study, but had accumulated 3–4 lifetime sexual partners (60%), and mostly used condoms (70%) during their last sexual encounter. Although ever-used hormonal contraception was high among those without HIV than those with HIV, the reverse was the case for current use of hormonal contraception. Women with HIV had mostly had menarche by 13 years while most WLHIV had their menarche at a later age of 20 years or more.

Regarding knowledge of cervical cancer, a higher mean score of 11.2 (95% CI=10.69, 11.72) was observed for women without HIV compared with 6.5 (95% CI=5.97, 7.08). In effect, the proportion of women with sufficient knowledge of cervical cancer was greater for those without HIV than their counterparts who had HIV. Based on the Chi-squared test results (Table 1), these reported variations were statistically significant between both groups of women (HIV positive and HIV negative/ unknown) across all the characteristics considered in the study, except one (current contraceptive use).

Association between HIV status and knowledge of cervical cancer

Table 2 presents the results of the logistic regression analysis on the association between the HIV status of women and knowledge of cervical cancer. The bivariate analysis (Model 1) shows a strong positive effect of HIV status on knowledge of cervical cancer, with the odds of having knowledge of cervical cancer being about four times significantly higher for women without HIV (OR=4.37; 95% CI=3.11, 6.15) compared with those with HIV. After adjusting for other background factors in multivariate analysis (Model 2), the effect of HIV status on knowledge of cervical cancer reduced marginally in magnitude but remained statistically significant. In effect, the odds of having knowledge of cervical cancer for women considered to be negative/unknown for HIV were about three times (AOR=3.07; 95% CI=1.47, 6.41) higher than their compatriots with HIV.

Regarding the background factors, all the significant associations positively predicted knowledge of cervical cancer. For instance, women with post-secondary/ tertiary (AOR=4.45; 95% CI=2.11, 9.35) education had significantly higher odds of having knowledge of cervical cancer than those with no education or those with just primary education. Similarly, the odds of having knowledge of cervical cancer were higher for both unskilled (AOR=3.11; 95% CI=1.16, 8.36) and skilled (AOR=3.58; 95% CI=1.40, 9.14) women with reference to those unemployed. In terms of religion, women who belong to the Islamic religion were 3.2 (95% CI=1.11, 9.27) times more likely to have knowledge of cervical cancer.

Discussion

This study determined the predictors of knowledge of cervical cancer among women living with HIV and those with negative or unknown HIV status. The findings indicate that women considered to be negative/unknown for HIV had more knowledge of cervical cancer compared to

Table 1 Characteristics of study participants by HIV status Characteristic H

No.No.No.No.No.Netar3959.847.010.73.633.5.4.10.07.040.020.014.03.5.4.10.07.040.020.014.03.5.4.20.510.79.515.015.03.5.4.20.510.70.516.016.03.5.423.03Polaber 0.00120.010.010.010.0Set303Polaber 0.00114.03.3.36.66.710.010.0Set303Polaber 0.00116.07.010.0	Characteristic	HIV Negative/unknown		HIV Positive		Total
Age set set Man 95 98 472 10.7 4.6 35-34 103 7.0 4.0 28.0 14.3 35 44 107 3.0 9.5 4.0 28.0 12.0 55-4 24 2.1 8.8 19.0 28.6 11.2 S ² =35.35 Avalue=0.001 3.3 6.8 6.6.7 10.2 Usephysic 3.4 8.0 6.7 10.2 27.2 Usephysic 3.4 8.0 6.7 10.2 27.2 Skiled 11 2.86 19.1 70.2 27.2 Skiled 10.0 7.0 7.3 30.0 25.3 K ² =005.7 Pvalue=0.001 2.77 48.3 2.06 5.5 4.6 16.1 Marie (croubling 17.7 58.4 17.0 13.0 8.0 16.5 Scondary 59 3.1 13.0 8.0 16.5 16.0 16.1 <th></th> <th>No.</th> <th>%/SD</th> <th>No.</th> <th>%/SD</th> <th>No.</th>		No.	%/SD	No.	%/SD	No.
Man 95 98 4/2 1.0 4.5 35-34. 107 5.30 95 47.0 202 45.34. 4.7 30.5 107 6.5.6 114 55+ 24 24.2 12.4 88 76.6 114 X ² 5.4 4.7 30.5 107 6.5.6 114 X ² 5.4 24 2.4 2.4 88 76.6 114 Verephysed 41 3.3.3 6.8 6.7 102 2.72 Suiled 1.66 70.0 71 30.0 2.72 Suiled 1.66 70.0 71 30.0 2.72 Suiled 1.66 70.0 71 30.0 2.72 Suiled 1.65 7.0 71 30.0 2.72 Suiled 1.65 7.0 71 30.0 2.73 Ware of preside color 1.5 3.8 7.7 4.6 7.6<	Age					
75-34 103 72.0 40 8.8 143 35-44 17 53.0 95 47.0 202 45-54 47 30.5 107 69.5 154 53+ 24 21.4 88 78.0 122 X ² =55.3 Avalue=0.01 70.0 21.4 88 78.0 122 Unmalinded 81 28.8 191 70.2 27.2 Skilled 10.6 70.0 71 30.3 21.7 X ² =055.9 70.0 70.0 73 30.3 21.7 X ² =055.9 70.0 70.0 73 30.3 21.7 X ² =055.9 70.0 74 30.3 24.6 51.7 57.3 Kalam 4 10.5 34 80.5 38 X ² =252.9 74.6 31.3 24.6 161 Marind (xolubin) 74 58.4 124 41.6 161 More (xoluban) 74 75.4 66.6 161 Marind (xolubin) 74 75.4 66.6 161 Marind (xoluban) 74 75.4 66.8 162 Mone (xoluban) 74 75.7	Mean	39.5	9.8	47.2	10.7	43.6
st4, 107 53.0 95 47.0 202 45-54, 47 30.5 107 66.5 154 55+ 24 21.4 88 76.6 112 X ^a B3.03, Poulae=0.01 V V V V V Christing 24 23.4 68 66.7 112 Mannelpoyed 34 33.3 68 66.7 102 Stilled 166 70.0 71 30.0 237 Stilled 105 34 29.5 733 33 Stilled 105 34 29.5 733 33 237 272 Marcid Stable V V 21.3 23.7 272 23.7 272 23.7 <td>25–34.</td> <td>103</td> <td>72.0</td> <td>40</td> <td>28.0</td> <td>143</td>	25–34.	103	72.0	40	28.0	143
4-5-2. 47 30.5 107 69.5 15.4 55+ 24 21.4 88 78.6 11.2 V-8503, Pvalue =0.001 33.3 88 66.7 10.2 Unemplayed 81 39.8 191 70.2 27.2 Shilled 166 70.0 71 80.0 27.2 V ² = 955, Pvalue = 0.001 74 80.5 34 89.5 38.8 Shilled 166 70.0 34 89.5 38.8 Shilled 105 34 89.5 38.8 Shilled 105 34 89.5 38.8 Shilled 105 34 89.5 38.8 Shilled 104 105 34 89.5 38.8 Shilled 114 58.4 124 41.6 28.8 Veldoweddwored 121 13.8 131 86.2 122.8 Socondary 59 31.2 130	35–44.	107	53.0	95	47.0	202
55+ 24 21.4 88 78.6 112 X ² = 53.03, P-alue = 0.001 34 33.3 68 66.7 102 Unexployed 34 33.3 68 66.7 102 Unexployed 36 20.0 /1 30.0 237 Stilled 166 /0.0 /1 30.0 237 Stilled 277 48.3 /90 51.7 57.3 Stata 75 40.6 101 103 238 33<	45–54.	47	30.5	107	69.5	154
β ² = 85.03, Pvalue = 0.001 v Occupation v v Unexplayed 34 33.3 69 66.7 10.2 Unexplayed 166 20.0 17.0 27.2 Silled 166 20.0 17.0 27.2 Keigen v v 18.0 19.0 27.2 Keigen 27.7 48.3 29.6 51.7 75.3 Keigen 4 10.5 34 29.6 38.3 Marind/schabiting 17.4 58.4 17.4 41.6 10.1 Marind/schabiting 17.4 58.4 17.4 41.6 10.1 Marind/schabiting 17.4 58.4 17.4 41.5 22.2 12.2 Educational level v v 13.3 86.2 15.2 None for program fest v v 13.7 83.0 16.5 Vender of addre 13.2 24.9 13.3 12.2 13.4 14.1	55+	24	21.4	88	78.6	112
Occurrent of the second of the sec	X ² =85.03; <i>P</i> -value=0.001					
unenployed 34 33.3 68 66.7 102 Unskilled 81 29.8 191 7.02 27.2 Skilled 166 7.00 7.1 30.0 27.2 K*=303.5, Pvalue=0.001 5.7 5.7 Skilled 27.7 48.3 29.6 51.7 5.7.3 Skilled 27.7 48.3 29.6 51.7 5.7.3 Skilled 28.7 48.3 29.6 51.7 5.7.3 Skilled 10.5 3.4 29.6 51.7 5.7.3 Maried/Cohabiting 17.4 58.4 17.2 41.6 29.8 Widewed/Worced 21 13.8 131 80.2 15.2 Widewed/Worced 29 31.2 130 68.8 16.9 Wedewed/Worced 29 31.2 130 68.8 29.7 Vid=102.05, Pvalue=0.001 132 40.4 135 50.6 26.7 <	Occupation					
unskilled 81 298 191 70.2 272 Skilled 166 70.0 71 30.0 237 K ¹ = 00.55, Pvalue=0.001 T T 50.0 51.7 57.3 K ¹ = 20.51, Pvalue=0.001 4 10.5 296 51.7 57.3 Marital status 4 10.5 34 89.5 58.4 124 41.6 296 Marital status 174 58.4 124 41.6 296 15.7 35.8 126	Unemployed	34	33.3	68	66.7	102
Sale 166 700 71 300 237 x ² = 90.55, Pvalue = 0.001 Christanity 277 48.3 296 51.7 57.3 Islam 277 48.3 296 51.7 57.3 Islam 277 48.3 296 51.7 57.3 Islam 277 48.3 296 51.7 57.3 Single 86 53.4 75 46.5 161 Marind/collabiling 174 58.4 124 41.6 298 Widowed/divorced 21 132 64.4 124 41.6 298 Widowed/divorced 29 31.2 130 68.8 189 Post scriftary 59 31.2 130 68.8 22 32 Visitare of pregnancies Visitare of pregnancies Visitare of pregnancies Visitare of pregnancies Visitare of pregnancies Mean 2.5 2.1 38<	Unskilled	81	29.8	191	70.2	272
we approximate with the second of the secon	Skilled	166	70.0	71	30.0	237
Religion 277 48.3 296 51.7 57.3 Islam 4 0.50 51.7 57.3 N ² = 2051; P-value=0.001 Without=0.001 Without=0.001 Without=0.001 Maria 15.0 6.5 53.4 7.5 46.6 101 Marindzonhabiting 174 58.4 124 41.6 208 Widowed/dwored 20 13.8 131 86.2 121 Vales36.P-value=0.001 Without=0.001 137 83.0 165 Secondary 59 12.0 137 83.0 165 Post scrietriary 194 7.5 63 24.5 257 Vales26.P-value=0.001 Without=0.001 132 49.4 135 66.8 267 1-3. 162.6 21.1 3.8 2.2 3.2 3.2 1-4.6 132 49.4 135 6.6 2.6 1.6 2.8 1.6 2.8 1.6 2.8 1.6	X ² = 90.55; <i>P</i> -value = 0.001					
Christianity 277 48.3 296 51.7 57.3 Islam 4 10.5 34 89.5 38 $\chi^2 = 2051; Pvalue = 0.001$ ** * ** * ** ** ** ** * ** * * * <td>Religion</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Religion					
Islam 4 10.5 34 89.5 38 λ ² = 20.51; <i>P</i> -value = 0.001	Christianity	277	48.3	296	51.7	573
$\lambda^2 = 20.51; Pvalue = 0.001$ Married/cohabiting9653.47546.6101Single8613.412441.6298Widowed/divorced2113.813186.2152 $\chi^2 = 83.36; Pvalue = 0.001$ 913.213068.2189Poteroiteriany9817.013.783.0165Secondary9831.213068.2189Pots exciteriany19475.56324.5257None of pregnanciesWene of pregnanciesWene2.52.13.82.23.206176.31923.8801-3.13.249.413550.6267A-6.7535.913.464.12907.41323.64276.455X ² = 50.42; Pvalue = 0.001Window Colspan="4">Number of childrenMean1.81.62.8192.407.76.8.33.1.311.21-3.16.247.917.652.133.84-6.3927.110.572.914407.76.8.353.551.666.11.31.62.819.713.62.61.42.92.00; Pvalue=0.00110.572.9144Mean<	Islam	4	10.5	34	89.5	38
Marital statusSingle8653.47546.6161Marited/cohabiling17458.412441.6298Widowed/dvorced2113.813186.2152 k^2 = 85.36, Pvalue=0.00155555Educational levelNone/primary2817.013783.0165Secondary5931.213068.8189Post sec/tertiary1947.56.32.42.5 χ^2 = 16.25, Pvalue=0.001731783.0165Mean2.52.13.82.23.206176.3192.36801-3.13249.413550.62674.67535.913.464.12097.435.913.464.12097.43.62.81.92.407.46.8353.131121.31.62.81.92.47.41.81.62.81.92.41.97.110.57.91.41.97.110.57.91.41.97.110.57.91.41.97.110.57.91.41.97.110.57.91.6 <t< td=""><td>X² = 20.51: <i>P</i>-value = 0.001</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	X ² = 20.51: <i>P</i> -value = 0.001					
Single 86 53.4 75 46.6 161 Maried/cohabiting 174 58.4 124 41.6 298 Widowed/dvored 21 13.8 121 41.6 298 X ² = 85.36, Pvalue = 0.001 X ² 132 83.0 165 Educational level V None/primary 28 17.0 137 83.0 165 Secondary 59 31.2 130 68.8 189 Post sec/tertiary 194 7.5 63 24.5 257 X ² = 162.56, Pvalue = 0.001 V V V 80.0 64.1 189 Post sec/tertiary 194 7.5 63 24.5 257 32.9 Mean 2.5 2.1 3.8 2.2 3.2 3.2 0 1.3 2.6 2.1 3.8 2.4 6.1 2.9 7.4 6.3 2.9 13.4 64.1 2.9	Marital status					
Mariad/cohabiling17458.412441.6298Widdwed/divorced2113.813186.2152 χ^2 = 85.36; Pvalue = 0.001 </td <td>Sinale</td> <td>86</td> <td>53.4</td> <td>75</td> <td>46.6</td> <td>161</td>	Sinale	86	53.4	75	46.6	161
Widowed/dwored $\chi^2 = 85.36, Pvalue = 0.001$ 11<	Married/cohabiting	174	58.4	124	41.6	298
X ² = 85.36, P-value = 0.001 None (primary) 28 17.0 137 83.0 165 Educational level 59 31.2 130 68.8 189 Post sec/tertiary 194 75.5 63 24.5 257 X ² = 162.56, P-value = 0.001 7 63.8 24.5 257 X ² = 162.56, P-value = 0.001 7 3.8 2.2 3.2 Nemer of pregnancies 7 3.8 2.2 3.2 0 61 76.3 19 2.3.8 80 1-3. 132 49.4 135 50.6 267 X ² = 50.42; P-value = 0.001 72 35.9 134 64.1 20 Y ² = 50.42; P-value = 0.001 75 35.9 134 64.1 20 Y ² = 50.42; P-value = 0.001 77 6.8.8 35 31.3 112 V ² = 50.42; P-value = 0.001 77 6.8.8 35 31.3 112 V ² = 50.42; P-value = 0.001 77 6.8.8 35 31.3 112 1-3. 162 47.9 176 52.1 338 4-6. 19 27 13 26 1/2. 3 17.6 14 <td>Widowed/divorced</td> <td>21</td> <td>13.8</td> <td>131</td> <td>86.2</td> <td>152</td>	Widowed/divorced	21	13.8	131	86.2	152
Educational level is the interval of the inte	$X^2 = 85.36$: <i>P</i> -value = 0.001					
None/primary Secondary2817.013783.0165Secondary5931.213068.8189Post sec/tertiary19475.56324.5257 $\chi^2 = 162.56$, Pvalue=0.001 $\chi^2 = 162.56$, Pvalue=0.0013.82.23.2Number of pregnanciesMean2.52.13.82.23.206176.31923.8801-3.13249.413550.62674-6.7535.913464.12097+1323.64276.455 $\chi^2 = 50.42$; Pvalue=0.001768.83531.3112Number of hildrenMean1.81.62.81.92.407768.83531.31121-3.16247.917652.13384-6.3927.110572.91447+31761482.417 $\chi^2 = 50.09$; Pvalue=0.00112613660.4225Kifter sexual partnersLifter me sexual partnersMean2.61.72.71.32.6Mean2.61.72.71.32.61-2.3349.53551.568X ² = 6.29; Pvalue=0.042.61.72.71.32.6	Educational level					
Interpretation Interpr	None/primary	28	17.0	137	83.0	165
bit Post sec/tertiary $\chi^2 = 162.56; P-value = 0.001$ bit P for P for P sec/tertiary1947.56324.5257Number of pregnanciesMean2.52.13.82.23.206176.31923.8801-3.13249.413550.62674-6.7535.913464.12097+1323.64276.455 $\chi^2 = 50.42; P-value = 0.001$ Number of childrenNumber of childrenNumber of childrenMean1.81.62.81.92.407768.83531.31121-3.16247.917652.13384-6.3927.110572.91447+31761482.417 $\chi^2 = 50.09; P-value = 0.001$ 15749.7316261-2.3316749.7316261-2.3348.53551.568 $\chi^2 = 6.29; P-value = 0.001$ 15749.73319.2Mean2.61.72.71.32.63-4.5939.613660.422.5543345.551.568 $\chi^2 = 6.29; P-value = 0.001$ 2.61.72.71.32.62.53335.51.6363-5.51.5683.93.63.551.568 <td>Secondary</td> <td>59</td> <td>31.2</td> <td>130</td> <td>68.8</td> <td>189</td>	Secondary	59	31.2	130	68.8	189
Name 1.5. 1.5. 0.5 1.5.	Post sec/tertiary	194	75.5	63	24 5	257
Number of pregnancies 2.5 2.1 3.8 2.2 3.2 0 61 76.3 19 23.8 80 1-3. 132 49.4 135 50.6 267 4-6. 75 35.9 134 64.1 209 7+ 13 23.6 42 76.4 55 X ² = 50.42; P-value = 0.001 1.8 1.6 2.8 1.9 2.4 Mean 1.8 1.6 2.8 1.9 2.4 0 77 68.8 35 31.3 112 1-3. 162 47.9 176 52.1 338 4-6. 39 27.1 105 72.9 144 7+ 3 17.6 14 82.4 17 X ² = 50.09; P-value = 0.001 26 1.7 2.7 1.3 2.6 1-2. 33 48.5 35	$X^2 = 16256$; P-value = 0.001		, 5.5	05	21.5	237
Mean252.13.82.23.206176.31923.8801-3.13249.413550.62674-6.7535.913464.12097+1323.64276.455 $\chi^2 = 50.42; P_value = 0.001$ 768.83531.3112Mean1.81.62.81.92.407768.83531.31121-3.16247.917.652.13384-6.3927.110572.91447+317.61482.417 $\chi^2 = 50.09; P_value = 0.001$ 750.315749.7316Mean2.61.72.71.32.61-2.15950.315749.73163.48939.613660.42255+3348.53551.568 $\chi^2 = 6.29; P_value = 0.043$ χ^2 65.114617271326Mean19.83.918.73.319.2Mean19.83.918.73.319.2 $\chi^2 = 16.30; P_value = 0.043$ 2614.614.6 $\chi^2 = 6.29; P_value = 0.043$ 3.918.73.319.2 $\chi^2 = 6.29; P_value = 0.043$ 3.918.73.319.2<	Number of pregnancies					
Internation Internation <thinternation< th=""> Internation</thinternation<>	Mean	25	21	3.8	22	3.2
1-3. 132 494 135 506 667 4-6. 75 35.9 134 64.1 209 7+ 13 23.6 42 76.4 55 χ^2 = 50.42; P-value = 0.001 55 Number of children Mean 1.8 1.6 2.8 1.9 2.4 0 77 68.8 35 31.3 112 1-3. 162 47.9 176 52.1 338 4-6. 39 27.1 105 52.9 144 7+ 3 17.6 14 82.4 17 χ^2 =50.09; P-value = 0.001 Life time sexual partners Mean 2.6 1.7 2.7 1.3 2.6 1-2. 39 36.5 35.5 51.5 36 3-4. 39 36.5 35.5 51.5 36 <	0	61	76.3	19	23.8	80
A-6.7535.913464.12097+1323.64276.455 $\chi^2 = 50.42; P.value = 0.001$ 768.83531.3112Number of childrenMean1.81.62.81.92.407768.83531.31121-3.16247.917652.13384-6.3927.110572.91447+317.61482.417 $\chi^2 = 50.09; P.value = 0.001$ 750.315749.7316Mean2.61.72.71.32.61-2.15950.315749.73163-4.8939.613660.42255+3348.53551.568 $\chi^2 = 6.29; P.value = 0.043$ 7734.99565.1146Mean19.83.918.73.319.2<=16	1-3	132	49.4	135	50.6	267
1 of1 of23.613.10.1.12.0.1 $\chi^2 = 50.42; P-value = 0.001$ Number of childrenMean1.81.62.81.92.407768.83531.31121-3.16247.917652.13384-6.3927.110572.91447+317.61482.417 $\chi^2 = 50.09; P-value = 0.001$ VLife time sexual partnersMean2.61.72.71.32.61-2.15950.315749.73163-4.8939.613660.42255+3348.53551.568 $\chi^2 = 6.29; P-value = 0.043$ VMean19.83.918.73.319.25134.99565.1146O19.83.918.73.319.22134.99565.1146(261334.99565.1146(272151.8427240.0124N26272713.528N26172.713.12.6(1-2.3.31213.12.6(1-2.3.33.114.1 <t< td=""><td>4-6</td><td>75</td><td>35.9</td><td>134</td><td>64.1</td><td>209</td></t<>	4-6	75	35.9	134	64.1	209
$\chi^2 = 50.42; P-value = 0.001$ 1616181.6181.62.81.92.4Mean1.81.62.83.331.31121-3.16247.917652.13384-6.3927.110572.91447+317.61482.417 $\chi^2 = 50.09; P-value = 0.001$ Life time sexual partnersLife time sexual partnersMean2.61.72.71.32.61-2.15950.315749.73163-4.8939.613660.42255+3348.53551.568 $\chi^2 = 6.29; P-value = 0.043$ Life time sexual partnersMean19.83.918.73.319.2< 4.12.920.648.222.151.842.7 $\chi^2 = 16.29; P-value = 0.043$ 2.61.43.319.2< 4.13.918.73.319.2< 5.12.63.918.73.319.2< 5.13.43.918.73.319.2< 5.13.43.918.73.319.2< 5.13.43.918.73.319.2< 6.13.43.918.73.319.2< 7.23.63.918.73.319.2< 7.23.63.63.63.63.6< 7.23.6 </td <td>7+</td> <td>13</td> <td>23.6</td> <td>42</td> <td>76.4</td> <td>55</td>	7+	13	23.6	42	76.4	55
Number of children Number of children 1.8 1.6 2.8 1.9 2.4 0 77 68.8 35 31.3 112 1-3. 162 47.9 176 52.1 338 4-6. 39 27.1 105 72.9 144 7+ 3 17.6 14 82.4 17 X ² =50.09; P-value=0.001 V V V V Life time sexual partners Mean 2.6 1.7 2.7 1.3 2.6 1-2. 159 50.3 157 49.7 316 3-4. 89 39.6 136 60.4 225 5+ 33 48.5 35 51.5 68 X ² = 6.29; P-value = 0.043 V V V 19.8 3.9 18.7 3.3 19.2 After the sex Mean 19.8 3.9 18.7 3.3 19.2 <10-	$X^2 = 50.42$ P-value = 0.001	10	2010		,	55
Mean1.81.62.81.92.407768.83531.31121-3.16247.917652.13384-6.3927.110572.91447+317.61482.417 χ^2 =50.09; P-value=0.001 </td <td>Number of children</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Number of children					
near101010101010101107768.83531.31121-3.16247.917652.13384-6.3927.110572.91447+317.61482.417 χ^2 =50.09; P-value=0.001172.71.32.6If time sexual partnersMean2.61.72.71.32.61-2.15950.315749.73163-4.8939.613660.42255+3348.53551.568 χ^2 = 6.29; P-value=0.04319.83.918.73.319.2Mean19.83.918.73.319.25134.99565.114617-25.20648.222151.842726+2470.61029.434	Mean	18	16	28	19	24
$1 - 3$ 162 47.9 176 52.1 338 $4-6$ 39 27.1 105 72.9 144 $7+$ 3 17.6 14 82.4 17 $\chi^2 = 50.09; P-value = 0.001$ Life time sexual partnersMean 2.6 1.7 2.7 1.3 2.6 $1-2.$ 159 50.3 157 49.7 316 $3-4.$ 89 39.6 136 60.4 225 $5+$ 33 48.5 35 51.5 68 $\chi^2 = 6.29; P-value = 0.043$ $\chi^2 = 6.29; P-value = 0.043$ 19.8 3.9 18.7 3.3 19.2 Age at first sexMean 19.8 3.9 18.7 3.3 19.2 $<=16$ 51 34.9 95 65.1 146 $17-25.$ 206 48.2 221 51.8 427 $26+$ 24 70.6 10 29.4 34	0	77	68.8	35	31.3	112
4-6.3927.110572.91447+317.61482.417 χ^2 =50.09; P-value=0.0017171482.417Life time sexual partnersMean2.61.72.71.32.61-2.15950.315749.73163-4.8939.613660.42255+3348.53551.568 χ^2 = 6.29; P-value=0.043VAge at first sexMean19.83.918.73.319.2<=16	1–3	162	47.9	176	52.1	338
74317.616374.9111 $\chi^2 = 50.09; P-value = 0.001$ 17.61482.417Life time sexual partnersMean2.61.72.71.32.61-2.15950.315749.73163-4.8939.613660.42255+3348.53551.568 $\chi^2 = 6.29; P-value = 0.043$ HeanMean19.83.918.73.319.2<=16	4-6	39	27.1	105	72.9	144
X2 = 50.09; P-value = 0.001X2 = 50.09; P-value = 0.001N.3N.3N.3N.4N.402.1NLife time sexual partnersZ.61.72.71.32.6Mean2.61.72.71.32.61-2.15950.315749.73163-4.8939.613660.42255+3348.53551.568 $X^2 = 6.29; P-value = 0.043$ X2 = 6.29; P-value = 0.043NNAge at first sexMean19.83.918.73.319.2<=165134.99565.114617-25.20648.222151.842726+2470.61029.434	7+	3	176	14	82.4	17
Life time sexual partners Mean 2.6 1.7 2.7 1.3 2.6 1-2. 159 50.3 157 49.7 316 3-4. 89 39.6 136 60.4 225 5+ 33 48.5 35 51.5 68 $\chi^2 = 6.29; P-value = 0.043$ V Age at first sex Mean 19.8 3.9 18.7 3.3 19.2 <=16	$X^2 = 50.09$ · P-value = 0.001	5	17.0		02.1	17
Mean 2.6 1.7 2.7 1.3 2.6 1-2. 159 50.3 157 49.7 316 3-4. 89 39.6 136 60.4 225 5+ 33 48.5 35 51.5 68 $\chi^2 = 6.29; P$ -value = 0.043 V Age at first sex Mean 19.8 3.9 18.7 3.3 19.2 <=16	Life time sexual partners					
Mean 1.9 50.3 1.5 1.5 2.0 1-2. 159 50.3 157 49.7 316 3-4. 89 39.6 136 60.4 225 5+ 33 48.5 35 51.5 68 $\chi^2 = 6.29; P-value = 0.043$ Hean 19.8 3.9 18.7 3.3 19.2 <=16 51 34.9 95 65.1 146 17-25. 206 48.2 221 51.8 427 26+ 24 70.6 10 29.4 34	Mean	26	17	27	13	26
3-4. 89 39.6 136 60.4 225 5+ 33 48.5 35 51.5 68 $\chi^2 = 6.29; P$ -value=0.043 Mean 19.8 3.9 18.7 3.3 19.2 <=16	1_2	159	50.3	157	49.7	316
5 4. 60 51.0 100 60.4 225 5+ 33 48.5 35 51.5 68 X ² = 6.29; P-value = 0.043 V V V V Age at first sex 19.8 3.9 18.7 3.3 19.2 <=16 51 34.9 95 65.1 146 17-25. 206 48.2 221 51.8 427 26+ 24 70.6 10 29.4 34	3_1	80	39.6	136	60.4	225
X ² = 6.29; P-value = 0.043 19.8 3.9 18.7 3.3 19.2 Kean 19.8 3.9 18.7 3.3 19.2 <=16 51 34.9 95 65.1 146 17-25. 206 48.2 221 51.8 427 26+ 24 70.6 10 29.4 34	5 - . 5±	33	48.5	35	51.5	68
Age at first sex 19.8 3.9 18.7 3.3 19.2 <=16	$X^2 = 6.29$; P-value = 0.043	55	-0.5	55	51.5	00
Mean 19.8 3.9 18.7 3.3 19.2 <=16	Age at first sex					
Name1203.916.75.319.2 $<=16$ 5134.99565.114617-25.20648.222151.842726+2470.61029.434 $X^2 = 16.30$: P-value = 0.0012470.61029.4	Mean	10.8	3.9	187	3 3	10.7
17-25. 206 48.2 221 51.8 427 $26+$ 24 70.6 10 29.4 34	<=16	51	34.0	95	65 1	17.2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17_25	206	JT.J 18 D	22	51.9	140
$X^2 = 16.30$, $P_{-value} = 0.001$	26+	200	70.2	10	201	+27 27
	$X^2 - 1630$ P-value - 0.001	24	/ 0.0	10	∠ <i>J.</i> †	J+C

Table 1 (continued)

Characteristic	HIV Negative/unknown		HIV Positive		Total
	No.	%/SD	No.	%/SD	No.
Sexually active					
Yes	221	54.8	182	45.2	403
No	60	28.8	148	71.2	208
X ² = 37.31 <i>P</i> -value = 0.001					
Condom use					
Yes	57	29.8	134	70.2	191
No	164	81.2	38	18.8	202
$X^2 = 105.16$; <i>P</i> -value = 0.001					
Ever used hormonal contraceptive					
Yes	274	68.0	129	32.0	403
No	7	3.4	201	96.6	208
$X^2 = 230.66; P-value = 0.001$					
Current hormonal contraceptive use					
Yes	44	45.4	53	54.6	97
No	237	46.1	277	53.9	514
$X^2 = 0.01; P$ -value = 0.892					
Age at menarche					
Mean	14.6	1.9	15.3	1.9	15.0
<=13	79	62.7	47	37.3	126
14–19.	200	42.8	267	57.2	467
20+	2	15.4	11	84.6	13
$X^2 = 20.88; P$ -value = 0.001					
Smoking					
Yes	14	70.0	6	30.0	20
No	267	45.2	324	54.8	591
X ² = 4.79; <i>P</i> -value = 0.028					
Knowledge of cervical					
Mean	11.2	4.4	6.5	5.1	8.6
Insufficient	89	28.7	221	71.3	310
Sufficient	192	63.8	109	36.2	301
X ² =75.65; <i>P</i> -value=0.001					
Total	281	46.0	330	54.0	611

those with HIV-positive status. It is plausible to assume that there are no systemic or well-developed structures to intensify health education on cervical cancer and screening among this highly susceptible population. Hence, the gaps in knowledge for WLHIV. This is not surprising as similar findings have been reported among WLHIV within the sub-Sahara African region [33, 34]. For instance, in Ethiopia, knowledge of WLHIV was low in the area of seeking health care and treatment for cervical cancer [35]. Similarly, in Tanzania, although the WLHIV had adequate knowledge of prevention, their scores on cervical cancer risk factors were low. An earlier study conducted in Uganda, however, reported that WLHIV had higher access to screening [36].

Given the synergistic relationship between HIV and cervical cancer, there is a need for more comprehensive strategies to ensure WLHIV are well-informed and participate in screening as part of the World Health Organisation efforts to eliminate cervical cancer [37].

Furthermore, as postulated by the Health Belief Model, knowledge is an important factor in attempting to change or modify health behaviour. A study in Zimbabwe found that there was widespread awareness of cervical cancer across age, education, and age of first pregnancy categories, although it did not translate into an increase in uptake of screening [21]. In Kenya a study was conducted after extensive education and screening on cervical cancer and the results showed that 99% of WLHIV had heard of screening, and 84% hd been screened. However, nearly half (48%) of women said they would not get screened, if they had to pay for it [37]. It is worth mentioning that an earlier comparative study among WLHIV and those without HIV who had undergone HPV and cervical cancer screening in Ghana found no significant difference regarding their knowledge levels [23]. A possible explanation could be that the process of undergoing screening exposed them to some information about the disease and its prevention.

Table 2 Logistic regression results on HPV/cervical cancer knowledge

Characteristic	Model 1		Ν	Nodel 2
	OR	95% CI	AOR	95% CI
HIV status				
Positive				
Negative/unknown	4.37**	[3.11,6.15]	3.07**	[1.47,6.41]
Age				
25–34				
35–44			1.57	[0.87,2.84]
45–54			1.80	[0.86,3.74]
55+			1.07	[0.31,3.74]
Educational level				
None/primary				
Secondary			2.03	[1.00,4.16]
Post sec/tertiary			4.45**	[2.11,9.35]
Marital status				
Single				
Married/cohabiting			1.47	[0.78,2.77]
Widowed/divorced			0.89	[0.29,2.67]
Occupation				
Unemployed				
Unskilled			3.11*	[1.16,8.36]
Skilled			3.58**	[1.40,9.14]
Religion				
Christianity				
Islam			3.21*	[1.11,9.27]
Number of pregnancies				
0				
1–3			0.37	[0.12,1.20]
4–6			0.31	[0.08,1.19]
7+			0.49	[0.09,2.65]
Number of children				
0				
1–3			2.31	[0.87,6.16]
4–6			1.49	[0.40,5.52]
/+			0.51	[0.05,5.19]
Lifetime sexual partners				
1-2			1.20	
5-4			1.50	[0.79,2.57]
S+			1.29	[0.04,2.03]
Vos				
No			0.69	[0 37 1 28]
Age at first sex			0.07	[0.37,1.20]
<=16				
17–25			0.65	[0 35 1 18]
26+			3.13	[0.84.11.70]
Ever used hormonal contraceptive				[
Yes				
No			1.05	[0.52,2.12]
Current use of hormonal contraceptive				
Yes				
No			1.10	[0.60,2.03]
Smoking				
Yes				

Table 2 (continued)

Characteristic		Model 1		Model 2	
	OR	95% Cl	AOR	95% CI	
No			1.35	[0.37,4.90]	
Age at menarche					
<=13					
14–19.			0.59	[0.32,1.08]	
20+			1.43	[0.21, 10.03]	

OR=Odds Ratio; AOR=Adjusted Odds Ratio; CI=Confidence interval; * p<.05, ** p<.01

Although the 2021 statistics of WLHIV in Ghana showed a majority of infected women were between the ages of 15–24 [38, 39], this study was conducted in older women as they are at a higher risk of developing cervical cancer. In the United States the median age of cervical cancer diagnosis was 49 years [40] while in South Africa the median age of cervical cancer was 52 years [41] and in Ghana it was 56.9 years [42].

In this study, when marital status was analysed, 86.2% of those who were divorced or widowed were WLHIV. This could be due to stigma following a breakdown of their marriages or the loss of partners to HIV. Again, when women were comparatively analysed, 46.6% were WLHIV. This is seen in other studies in Ghana [43] and South Africa [44, 45]. For instance, a study conducted among WLHIV in the Eastern Region of Ghana reported that they were less likely to disclose their HIV status to family members due to anticipated stigma and possible discrimination [46].

Despite the fact that multiple lifetime partners are a risk factor for HIV infection as reported by several studies [47–49], most WLHIV were not sexually active (55%) at the time of this study, but had accumulated 3-4 lifetime sexual partners (60%), and mostly used condoms (70%) during their last sexual encounter which is critical in efforts to prevent further infection. It has been established that HIV can be transmitted even with one partner who is not committed to the relationship or has other partners, the level of exposure to possible HIV infection increases as the number of partners increases. Consequently, unstable relationships seem to be one of the drivers of HIV infection. In South Africa, it has been reported that women who had never married before and those who were widowed had higher chances of acquiring HIV infection compared to those in a marital relationship [50]. In contrast, the high HIV prevalence changed the aspirations of the University students in Durban, South Africa, towards marriage as they felt protection during marriage could not be guaranteed [51].

It is worth mentioning that 70.2% of those with HIV used a condom while only 9.8% of those without HIV used a condom. This calls for the intensification of health education on condom use to prevent others from developing the disease.

The role of formal education in disease prevention strategies cannot be overemphasized. This study showed that women with higher levels of education, at the postsecondary or tertiary levels had better chances of having knowledge of cervical cancer than those without any formal education or less educated. Several studies have reported similar findings [52-54]. It is an expected finding as education broadens one's horizon to understand different perspectives of issues. Additionally, some level of education is imperative to effectively prevent diseases. For instance, in the present study, 75.5% of the women without HIV had post-secondary or tertiary education compared to 24.5% of WHIV, whereas 83.0% of those with HIV either had no formal education or had primary education compared to 17.0% for HIV negative/unknown women when comparatively analysed.

The findings observed in this study are comparable to several studies that had been conducted in both WLHIV and those without HIV in similar contexts [52, 55]. Likewise, a cross-sectional study conducted among WLHIV in the Central Region of Ghana found education to be a significant factor that influenced the intention to seek cervical cancer screening [52] Similarly, there was an association between HIV status and level of education in a sample of WLHIV in Ghana [55].

In terms of religion, women who belonged to the Islamic faith were more likely to have knowledge of cervical cancer. These women might have been exposed to some information about the disease. Given the small size of Muslim women who participated in this study, it would be difficult to generalize it. Nonetheless, a study conducted among Muslim women with unknown HIV status in the southern part of Ghana reported issues of Islamic modesty to have decreased intention regarding screening [56]. Therefore, there is a need for further exploration of Muslim women with HIV knowledge about cervical cancer using larger samples.

In addition, the findings suggest that employed women had more exposure to information and had different sources from which they could gather information, unlike unemployed women. This finding is consistent with a study conducted among Malawian women who visited health centers [57, 58]. Efforts to maximize screening and treatment uptake could be hinged on the socio-ecological approach with a focus on deepening knowledge at varied levels to ensure effective access to and utilization of prevention services [59]. Adequate knowledge and understanding of cancer prevention strategies could potentially enhance intention and actual participation in prevention programmes [58, 60]. For instance, in the case of cervical cancer, the early stages of the disease are without symptoms, hence women normally present with the late stage of the disease which often results in a negative/unknown outcome of disease, with curative treatment not being effective [61].

Conclusions

Women without or unknown HIV had more knowledge of cervical cancer compared to WLHIV. This calls for targeted interventions to increase knowledge among WLHIV since they are more susceptible to cervical cancer. The findings further affirm the claim that unstable relationships are key drivers for HIV infection. Additionally, almost 30.0% of WLHIV did not use condoms. Health education on the effects of multiple partners and its implication on HIV is paramount to sustain efforts to prevent new HIV infections. Furthermore, cervical cancer prevention approaches need to focus on uneducated women and those with lower levels of education and unskilled employment since they may be at risk due to either inadequate information on the disease, their interpretation of available information or multiple other factors that could deter them from participating in screening programmes. Though limited by numbers, the findings of this study suggest that Muslim women may have more knowledge about cervical cancer compared to women of other faith groups. This brings to light the importance of designing and implementing structured and tailored health education programmes that target different religious communities as part of cervical cancer prevention strategy.

Limitations of the study

It is worth mentioning that blinding was not feasible as this was not a typical intervention study. Given the differences in the two groups, women with HIV and without HIV/unknown, there is the possibility of social desirability bias. Additionally, HIV status was not verified because the study recruited those with HIV and receiving care at a major teaching hospital and those with unknown/negative status study from the gynae clinic. We acknowledge that the study's scope was restricted by the inclusion of women with an 'unknown' HIV status as there is a possibility that an unknown percentage of controls may be HIV positive when interpreting the data presented. Therefore, the findings are not generalizable to the general population of women but HIV-positive and negative/unknown status women attending gynae clinics.

Abbreviations

HIV Human Immunodeficiency Virus HPV Human Papillomavirus

HPV Human Papillomavirus

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12905-024-02953-z.

Supplementary Material 1

Acknowledgements

We appreciate the support of the participants who voluntarily took part in the study. We are also grateful to the management of the Cape Coast Teaching Hospital, and the data collectors for their immense support.

Author contributions

The study was conceptualized by DOY, NIEE, EA, PKA and SKA. All authors contributed to the design of the study. DOY and DT contributed to the analysis and interpretation of the results. NIEE, EA, and DT produced a draft manuscript which was reviewed by DOY, PKA, SKA for important intellectual content. All the authors read and approved the final version.

Funding

This study was funded by the Directorate of Research, Innovation, and Consultancy of the University of Cape Coast with grant number (RSG/PAP/ COHAS/2020/103).

Data availability

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The Ethical Review Committee of the Cape Coast Teaching Hospital gave approval for the study with reference number (ID: CCTHERC/EC/2020/111). Written informed consent was obtained from the participants before data collection. All ethical guidelines in relation to the conduct of research involving human subjects were strictly followed.

Consent for publication

This is not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 20 April 2023 / Accepted: 5 February 2024 Published online: 12 February 2024

References

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A et al. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. CA Cancer J Clin [Internet]. 2021 May [cited 2022 Mar 22];71(3):209–49. Available from: https://pubmed. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33538338/
- Jedy-Agba E, Joko WY, Liu B, Gyabi Buziba N, Borok M, Korir A et al. ARTICLE Epidemiology Trends in cervical cancer incidence in sub-Saharan Africa. Br J Cancer [Internet]. [cited 2022 Dec 15]; https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41416-020-0831-9
- Arbyn M, Weiderpass E, Bruni L, de Sanjosé S, Saraiya M, Ferlay J, et al. Estimates of incidence and mortality of cervical cancer in 2018: a worldwide analysis. Lancet Glob Health. 2020;8(2):e191–203.

- Cervical cancer Ghana. 2021 country profile [Internet]. [cited 2022 Dec 8]. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/ cervical-cancer-gha-country-profile-2021
- Viens LJ, Henley SJ, Watson M, Markowitz LE, Thomas CC, Thompson TD, et al. Human papillomavirus–Associated Cancers — United States, 2008–2012. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2016;65(26):661–6.
- Liao CI, Francoeur AA, Kapp DS, Caesar MAP, Huh WK, Chan JK. Trends in Human Papillomavirus–Associated Cancers, Demographic Characteristics, and Vaccinations in the US, 2001–2017. JAMA Netw Open [Internet]. 2022 Mar 1 [cited 2022 Dec 15];5(3):e222530–e222530. Available from: https:// jamanetwork.com/journals/jamanetworkopen/fullarticle/2790165
- Obiri-Yeboah D, Akakpo PK, Mutocheluh M, Adjei-Danso E, Allornuvor G, Amoako-Sakyi D et al. Epidemiology of cervical human papillomavirus (HPV) infection and squamous intraepithelial lesions (SIL) among a cohort of HIVinfected and uninfected Ghanaian women. BMC Cancer. 2017;17(1).
- Cervical Cancer: A Global Public Health Treatise Google Books [Internet]. [cited 2022 Dec 15]. Available from: https://books.google.com.gh/books?hl=e n&Ir=&id=bbZaEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA95&dq=causes+of+invasive+cervi cal+cancer&ots=WegdDWbbaw&sig=5Bse4d_atrl3wki3H4NeuYr09co&redir_ esc=y#v=onepage&q=causes%20of%20invasive%20cervical%20 cancer&f=false
- Traore IMA, Zohoncon TM, Dembele A, Djigma FW, Obiri-Yeboah D, Traore G et al. Molecular Characterization of High-Risk Human Papillomavirus in Women in Bobo-Dioulasso, Burkina Faso. Biomed Res Int [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2022 Mar 22];2016. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/27525275/
- Ntekim A, Campbell O, Rothenbacher D. Optimal management of cervical cancer in HIV-positive patients: a systematic review. Cancer Med. 2015;4(9):1381–93.
- Okoye JO, Ofodile CA, Adeleke OK, Obioma O. Prevalence of high-risk HPV genotypes in sub-saharan Africa according to HIV status: a 20-year systematic review. Epidemiol Health. 2021;43.
- Luque AE, Hitti J, Mwachari C, Lane C, Messing S, Cohn SE et al. Prevalence of human papillomavirus genotypes in HIV-1-infected women in Seattle, USA and Nairobi, Kenya: results from the women's HIV Interdisciplinary Network (WHIN). Int J Infect Dis. 2010;14(9).
- Calys-Tagoe BNL, Aheto JMK, Mensah G, Biritwum RB, Yawson AE. Cervical cancer screening practices among women in Ghana: Evidence from wave 2 of the WHO study on global AGEing and adult health. BMC Womens Health [Internet]. 2020 Mar 5 [cited 2022 Dec 8];20(1):1–9. Available from: https:// bmcwomenshealth.biomedcentral.com/articles/https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12905-020-00915-9
- Burd EM. Human papillomavirus and cervical cancer. Clin Microbiol Rev [Internet]. 2003 Jan [cited 2022 Apr 1];16(1):1–17. Available from: https:// pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12525422/
- Munk AC, Øvestad IT, Gudlaugsson E, Løvslett K, Fiane B, Van Diermen-Hidle B et al. Consistent condom use increases spontaneous regression in high-risk non-HPV16 but not in HPV16 CIN2-3 lesions, a prospective population-based cohort study. Infect Agent Cancer [Internet]. 2012 Nov 5 [cited 2022 Jul 16];7(1):30–30. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/ pmid/23126423/?tool=E8I
- Campos NG, Kim JJ, Castle PE, Ortendahl JD, O'Shea M, Diaz M, HEALTH AND ECONOMIC IMPACT OF HPV 16/18 VACCINATION AND CERVICAL CANCER SCREENING IN EASTERN AFRICA. International Journal of Cancer Journal International du Cancer [Internet]. 2012 Jun 6 [cited 2022 Jul 23];130(11):2672. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC3314721/.
- Mullapally SK, Digumarti L, Digumarti R. Cervical Cancer in low- and Middle-Income countries: a Multidimensional Approach to closing the gaps. JCO Oncol Pract. 2022;18(6):423–5.
- Cervical cancer | WHO. | Regional Office for Africa [Internet]. [cited 2020 Sep 9]. Available from: https://www.afro.who.int/health-topics/cervical-cancer
- World Health Organization. Global strategy to accelerate the elimination of cervical cancer as a public health problem and its associated goals and targets for the period 2020–2030. United Nations General Assembly [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2022 Mar 22];2(1):1–3. Available from: https://www.who.int/ publications/i/item/9789240014107
- Bogale AL, Teklehaymanot T, Ali JH, Kassie GM. Knowledge, attitude and practice of cervical cancer screening among women infected with HIV in Africa: systematic review and metaanalysis. PLoS ONE. 2021;16(4 April).
- 21. Fitzpatrick M, Pathipati MP, McCarty K, Rosenthal A, Katzenstein D, Chirenje ZM et al. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices of cervical Cancer screening among HIV-positive and HIV-negative women participating in human

papillomavirus screening in rural Zimbabwe. BMC Womens Health. 2020;20(1).

- Mensah K, Assoumou N, Duchesne V, Pourette D, Debeaudrap P, Dumont A. Acceptability of HPV screening among HIV-infected women attending an HIV-dedicated clinic in Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire. BMC Womens Health. 2020;20(1).
- Stuart A, Obiri-Yeboah D, Adu-Sarkodie Y, Hayfron-Benjamin A, Akorsu AD, Mayaud P. Knowledge and experience of a cohort of HIV-positive and HIV-negative Ghanaian women after undergoing human papillomavirus and cervical cancer screening. BMC Womens Health [Internet]. 2019 Oct 23 [cited 2022 Mar 22];19(1). Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/31647013/
- Bogale AL, Belay NB, Medhin G, Ali JH. Molecular epidemiology of human papillomavirus among HIV infected women in developing countries: systematic review and meta-analysis. Virol J [Internet]. 2020 Dec 1 [cited 2022 Jul 23];17(1):1–15. Available from: https://virologyj.biomedcentral.com/ articles/https://doi.org/10.1186/s12985-020-01448-1
- 25. Bruni L, Serrano B, Roura E, Alemany L, Cowan M, Herrero R, et al. Cervical cancer screening programmes and age-specific coverage estimates for 202 countries and territories worldwide: a review and synthetic analysis. Lancet Glob Health. 2022;10(8):e1115–27.
- Belete N, Tsige Y, Mellie H. Willingness and acceptability of cervical cancer screening among women living with HIV/AIDS in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: a cross sectional study. 2015.
- 27. WHO guideline for screening. And treatment of cervical pre-cancer lesions for cervical cancer prevention. 97 p. https://www.who.int/publications/i/ item/9789240030824
- Akakpo PK, Ken-Amoah S, Innocentia N, Enyan E, Agyare E, Salia E et al. RESEARCH Open Access High-risk human papillomavirus genotype distribution among women living with HIV; implication for cervical cancer prevention in a resource limited setting. Infect Agent Cancer [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2023 Nov 28];18:33. Available from: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/4.0/.TheCreativeCommonsPublicDomainDedicationwaiver
- 29. CCTH-2021-ANNUAL. Report [Internet]. [cited 2023 Mar 24]. Available from: http://www.ccthghana.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/10/CCTH-2021-AN-NUAL-PERFORMANCE-REPORT-BOOKLET.pdf
- Innocentia Ebu N, Esinam Abotsi-Foli G, Faakonam Gakpo D. Nurses' and midwives' knowledge, attitudes, and acceptance regarding human papillomavirus vaccination in Ghana: a cross-sectional study. [cited 2023 Nov 28]; https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-020-00530-x
- Ebu NI, Mupepi SC, Siakwa MP, Sampselle CM. Knowledge, practice, and barriers toward cervical cancer screening in Elmina, Southern Ghana. Int J Womens Health [Internet]. 2014;7:31–9. Available from: /pmc/articles/ PMC4284003/?report = abstract.
- Fong L, Law R, Hair JF Jr., Hult GTM, Ringle CM, Sarstedt M. (2014). A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM). Sage Publications. ISBN: 978-1-4522-1744-4. 307 pp. European Journal of Tourism Research. 2013;6(2):211–3.
- Kimondo FC, Kajoka HD, Mwantake MR, Amour C, Mboya IB. Knowledge, attitude, and practice of cervical cancer screening among women living with HIV in the Kilimanjaro region, northern Tanzania. Cancer Rep (Hoboken) [Internet]. 2021 Oct 1 [cited 2023 Mar 30];4(5). Available from: https:// pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33739611/
- Shiferaw N, Brooks MI, Salvador-Davila G, Lonsako S, Kassahun K, Ansel J et al. Knowledge and Awareness of Cervical Cancer among HIV-Infected Women in Ethiopia. Obstet Gynecol Int [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2023 Mar 30];2016. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27867397/
- Stelzle D, Tanaka LF, Ken Lee K, Ibrahim Khalil A, Baussano I, Shah V. AS, Estimates of the global burden of cervical cancer associated with HIV. Lancet Glob Health [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2023 Mar 30];9:e161–9. Available from: www.thelancet.com/lancetgh.
- Maria NS, Olwit C, Kaggwa MM, Nabirye RC, Ngabirano TD. General and reproductive health outcomes among female greenhouse workers: a comparative study. 2020 [cited 2023 Nov 28]; https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12905-022-01743-9
- Rosser JI, Njoroge B, Huchko MJ. Cervical Cancer Screening Knowledge and Behavior among Women Attending an Urban HIV Clinic in Western Kenya. J Cancer Educ [Internet]. 2015 Sep 13 [cited 2022 Dec 15];30(3):567–72. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25595965/
- Ghana | UNAIDS [Internet]. [cited 2020 Aug 8]. Available from: https://www. unaids.org/en/regionscountries/countries/ghana

- UNAIDS Gap Report. World | ReliefWeb [Internet]. [cited 2023 Mar 26]. Available from: https://reliefweb.int/report/world/unaids-gap-report
- 40. Buskwofie A, David-West G, Clare CA. A review of Cervical Cancer: incidence and disparities. J Natl Med Assoc. 2020;112(2):229–32.
- Olorunfemi G, Ndlovu N, Masukume G, Chikandiwa A, Pisa PT, Singh E. Temporal trends in the epidemiology of cervical cancer in South Africa (1994–2012). Int J Cancer. 2018;143(9):2238–49.
- Nartey Y, Hill PC, Amo-Antwi K, Nyarko KM, Yarney J, Cox B. Cervical cancer in the Greater Accra and Ashanti Regions of Ghana. J Glob Oncol. 2017;3(6):782–90.
- Odai Laryea D, Amoako YA, Spangenberg K, Frimpong E, Kyei-Ansong J. Contraceptive use and unmet need for family planning among HIV positive women on antiretroviral therapy in Kumasi, Ghana. 2014 [cited 2023 Mar 28]; Available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-6874/14/126
- Beyer JL, Taylor L, Gersing KR, Krishnan KRR. Prevalence of HIV infection in a general psychiatric outpatient population. Psychosomatics. 2007;48(1):31–7.
- 45. le Roux JM, Groenewald L, Moxley K, Koen L. The clinical and demographic profile of women living with HIV admitted to the acute unit at Stikland Psychiatric Hospital. South Afr J HIV Med [Internet]. 2021 Mar 1 [cited 2023 Mar 28];22(1). Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33824729/
- Owusu AY. A gendered analysis of living with HIV/AIDS in the Eastern Region of Ghana. BMC Public Health [Internet]. 2020 May 24 [cited 2023 Mar 30];20(1). Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32448210/
- 47. Kibira SPS, Sandøy IF, Daniel M, Atuyambe LM, Makumbi FE. A comparison of sexual risk behaviours and HIV seroprevalence among circumcised and uncircumcised men before and after implementation of the safe male circumcision programme in Uganda Global health. BMC Public Health. 2016;16(1).
- Carlos S, Lopez-del Burgo C, Burgueño E, Martinez-Gonzalez MA, Osorio A, Ndarabu A et al. Male condom use, multiple sexual partners and HIV: a prospective case-control study in Kinshasa (DRC). AIDS Care [Internet]. 2017 Jun 3 [cited 2023 Mar 30];29(6):772–81. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/27852108/
- Farahani FK, Akhondi MM, Shirzad M, Azin A, HIV/STI RISK-TAKING SEXUAL BEHAVIOURS AND RISK PERCEPTION AMONG MALE, UNIVERSITY STUDENTS IN TEHRAN: IMPLICATIONS FOR HIV PREVENTION AMONG YOUTH. J Biosoc Sci [Internet]. 2018 Jan 1 [cited 2023 Mar 30];50(1):86–101. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28285603/
- Tlou B. The influence of marital status on HIV infection in an HIV hyperendemic area of rural South Africa, 2000–2017. Afr J AIDS Res [Internet]. 2019 Jan 2 [cited 2023 Mar 30];18(1):65–71. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/30880581/
- Haffejee F, Ngidi ND, Singh D. Is the HIV epidemic changing views on marriage aspirations? Perspectives of university students in Durban, South Africa. AIDS Care [Internet]. 2018 Jul 3 [cited 2023 Mar 30];30(7):853–6. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29117713/
- Ebu NI. Socio-demographic characteristics influencing cervical cancer screening intention of HIV-positive women in the central region of Ghana. Gynecologic Oncology Research and Practice 2018 5:1 [Internet]. 2018 Mar 7 [cited 2022 Jul 16];5(1):1–7. Available from: https://gynoncrp.biomedcentral. com/articles/https://doi.org/10.1186/s40661-018-0060-6

- Javaeed A, Shoukat S, Hina S, Hameed Z, Ghauri SK, Ahmed MM. Knowledge, Attitude, and Practices Related to Cervical Cancer Among Adult Women in Azad Kashmir: A Hospital-based Cross-sectional Study. Cureus [Internet]. 2019 Mar 11 [cited 2023 Mar 30];11(3):e4234. Available from: http://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31123656
- Bansal AB, Pakhare AP, Kapoor N, Mehrotra R, Kokane AM. Knowledge, attitude, and practices related to cervical cancer among adult women: A hospital-based cross-sectional study. J Nat Sci Biol Med [Internet]. 2015 Jul 1 [cited 2023 Mar 30];6(2):324–8. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/26283822/
- 55. Enyan NIE, Akaba S, Amoo SA. Women diagnosed with HIV and unknown HIV status perceived susceptibility to cervical cancer and perceived benefits of cervical cancer screening in Ghana: a cross-sectional study. BMC Womens Health [Internet]. 2021 Dec 1 [cited 2023 Mar 30];21(1). Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34657607/
- Enyan NIE, Davies AE, Opoku-Danso R, Annor F, Obiri-Yeboah D. Correlates of cervical cancer screening participation, intention and self-efficacy among muslim women in southern Ghana. BMC Womens Health. 2022;22(1).
- 57. Chadza E, Chirwa E, Maluwa A, Malata A, Kazembe A, Chimwaza A. Factors that contribute to delay in seeking cervical cancer diagnosis and treatment among women in Malawi. Health N Hav [Internet]. 2012 Nov 16 [cited 2023 Mar 31];2012(11):1015–22. Available from: http://www.scirp.org/journal/ PaperInformation.aspx?PaperID=24429
- Bula AK, Lee F, Chapola J, Mapanje C, Tsidya M, Thom A et al. Perceptions of cervical cancer and motivation for screening among women in Rural Lilongwe, Malawi: A qualitative study. PLoS One [Internet]. 2022 Feb 1 [cited 2023 Mar 31];17(2):e0262590. Available from: https://journals.plos.org/plosone/ article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0262590
- Erku DA, Netere AK, Mersha AG, Abebe SA, Mekuria AB, Belachew SA. Comprehensive knowledge and uptake of cervical cancer screening is low among women living with HIV/AIDS in Northwest Ethiopia. Gynecologic Oncology Research and Practice 2017 4:1 [Internet]. 2017 Dec 19 [cited 2023 Mar 31];4(1):1–7. Available from: https://link.springer.com/articles/https://doi. org/10.1186/s40661-017-0057-6
- Solomon K, Tamire M, Kaba M. Predictors of cervical cancer screening practice among HIV positive women attending adult anti-retroviral treatment clinics in Bishoftu town, Ethiopia: The application of a health belief model. BMC Cancer [Internet]. 2019 Oct 23 [cited 2023 Mar 31];19(1):1–11. Available from: https://bmccancer.biomedcentral.com/articles/https://doi. org/10.1186/s12885-019-6171-6
- Pretorius R, Semrad N, Watring W, Fotheringham N. Presentation of cervical cancer. Gynecol Oncol. 1991;42(1):48–53.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.