# RESEARCH

**BMC Women's Health** 

# **Open Access**



Menstrual hygiene management practice among adolescent girls: an urban–rural comparative study in Rajshahi division, Bangladesh

Md. Abu Tal Ha and Md. Zakiul Alam<sup>\*</sup>

# Abstract

**Introduction:** Adolescence is a critical period characterized by significant physical, emotional, cognitive, and social changes, including the monthly occurrence of menstruation of adolescent girls. Despite being an inevitable natural event, most societies consider menstruation and menstrual blood as taboos and impure. Such consideration prevents many adolescent girls from proper health education and information related to menstrual health, which forces them to develop their ways of managing the event. This study attempted to explore the pattern, the urban–rural differences, and the determinants of menstrual hygiene management practices (MHMP) among adolescent girls in the Rajshahi division, Bangladesh.

**Methodology:** Using a cross-sectional study design with multistage random sampling, we collected data from 586 adolescent girls (aged 14–19 years) from the Rajshahi division of Bangladesh. The MHMP was measured using eight binary items, where the value from zero to five as 'bad,' six as 'fair,' and seven-eight as 'good' practices. Finally, we employed bivariate analysis and multinomial logistic regression analysis.

**Findings:** Only 37.7% continuously used sanitary pads. Among the cloth users, nearly three-fourths reused cloths, and about 57% used water and soap to wash them. About 49% changed menstrual absorbent, and 44% washed their genitalia three times daily. About 41% used water only to wash genitalia, and 55% buried sanitary materials under the soil. Around 36.9% of the girls practiced bad, 33.4% fair, and 29.7% good menstrual management. We found significant differences in MHMP among adolescent girls between urban and rural areas (32.3% vs. 27.7% good users,  $p \le 0.05$ ). Multinomial logistic regression found that place of residence, age, family size, parental education, and age at first menstruation were the significant determinants of MHMP.

**Conclusion:** Although there are some cases of sanitary pad use, still menstrual hygiene management is unhealthy in most cases. The continuous supply of sanitary pads at affordable cost, change in existing social norms about menstruation, proper education, information, and services are essential for achieving health-related SDG goals in both rural and urban areas of Bangladesh.

Keywords: Menstrual hygiene management practice, Menstrual hygiene, Adolescent girls, Bangladesh

# Introduction

\*Correspondence: zakiul.alam@du.ac.bd Department of Population Sciences, University of Dhaka, Dhaka 1000, Bangladesh



Adolescence is a critical period in women's lives characterized by first menstruation, a natural and beneficial biological event, and significant physical, emotional,

© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

cognitive, and social changes [1, 2]. Despite being an inevitable and natural process, most societies consider menstruation a taboo [1-6]. Many of the norms and stigma associated with the event are based on discriminatory gender roles and cultural restrictions, making it a silent and invisible issue [1-6]. As a result, it prevents many adolescent girls from receiving proper menstrual health and hygiene-related information and education. Furthermore, it also exposes them to challenges of managing menstruation and menstrual blood properly and forces them to develop their ways of managing it depending on existing traditional and cultural beliefs, level of knowledge on menstruation, and personal preferences [1-6].

In a country like Bangladesh, mothers and other female relatives are the primary sources of information on menstruation; however, they can provide very little information, which is often misconceptions, thereby affecting adolescent girls' response to menstrual management [7-10]. Studies show that unhealthy practices of menstrual management among adolescent girls are highly prevalent in Bangladesh [1, 7, 11, 12]. Globally, poor menstrual management affects girls' school attendance and academic progress through psychological (for example, discomfort, high stress, fear of leakage of menstrual blood, and fear of leaving signs of menstrual blood inside the school latrine) and physical (for example, Dysmenorrhoea, Headache, and excessive bleeding) factors [2, 12, 13]. At the same time, it affects their maternal and reproductive health through increased risk of reproductive tract infections (RTI), sexually transmitted diseases (STD), Human Papillomavirus (HPV) infection, and adverse pregnancy outcomes [1, 2, 14]. Understanding the menstrual hygiene management practices (MHMP) of adolescent girls is therefore crucial for informing strategies to promote equitable education, gender equality, women's empowerment, health, and environment in line with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) [10].

Despite being a significant public health issue, there is limited understanding of the extent of proper MHMP in low and middle-income countries, including Bangladesh [11]. Studies on menstrual management practices conducted in Bangladesh have, for instance, focused on narrow topics such as the prevalence of the practices or the relationship between menstruation and girls' school attendance, with no consideration of the broader dimensions of menstrual hygiene management and its socioeconomic determinants [1, 7, 8, 10, 12]. This paper examines the menstrual management practices of adolescent girls in the Rajshahi Division (randomly selected) of Bangladesh and the socioeconomic determinants of such practices.

# Data and methods

## Data collection process

We used a multistage random sampling procedure to identify the research location. In the first stage, we randomly selected the study area, the Rajshahi division, among Bangladesh's eight administrative divisions. One of the administrative districts, Chapainawabganaj, was then randomly selected among the eight districts of the Rajshahi division. In the third stage, four administrative sub-districts (Upazila in Bangladesh) were selected randomly out of the five sub-districts. In the final stage, eight secondary schools (four located in the urban area and the other four in the rural area) were randomly selected with the help of key informants to locate the schools., The target sample size was 589 adolescent girls in order to detect 10% prevalence of use of sanitary pad (based on the 2014 Bangladesh National Hygiene Baseline Survey) at a 95% confidence interval, 5% non-response rate, and 1.5 design effect for homogeneity among learners sampled from the same school. The current cross-sectional study was conducted on 17-25 November 2018 among adolescent girls aged 14 to 19 years studying in eight secondary schools in the Rajshahi Division of Bangladesh. In each school, an average of 37 adolescent girls (total sample divided by two classes of each school) in Standards 9 and 10 were randomly selected from the registrar. The initial target was to have 60% of the sample from rural areas and 40% from urban areas, as most (more than 60%) of the population lives in rural areas. However, the final sample size stands at 586 adolescent girls comprising 57% from rural areas and 43% from urban areas (Fig. 1).

Adolescent girls studying in Standard 9 and 10 were targeted; they were considered mature enough to talk about a socially sensitive issue like menstruation and could provide their consent for participation in the research. Before the commencement of data collection, permission was obtained from the head of each school over the phone after informing the study's objectives. As permission was received, we collected detailed information of the potential respondents from those schools, randomly selected them, contacted their parents, and managed to receive their permission as the potential participants were minors. After getting permission from both heads of institutions and parents, one of the female teachers from each school was trained on the data collection process to oversee. On the interview day, randomly selected girls from Standard 9 and 10 were gathered in a classroom and were seated in-class environment. A questionnaire was given to students who voluntarily agreed to participate in the study after a short description of objectives and roles as respondents. After that, participants self-administered the questionnaire. The responsible female teacher collected the completed questionnaires.



# Variable definitions and measurements *Outcome variable*

The dependent variable, "Menstrual Hygiene Management Practice (MHMP)," had three categories, namely 'good,' 'fair,' and 'bad.' A total of 8indicators, identified based on existing literature [7, 12, 15–20], were used to measure menstrual hygiene management practices (Table 1).

 Table 1
 Measures of menstrual hygiene management practices

| Serial number | Indicators  | Positive responses = 1  | Negative responses = 0   |
|---------------|---|---|--|
| 1             | Absorbent used for managing menstrual blood                   | Sanitary pad, new cloth, Use of sanitary pad and new cloth interchangeably**  | Old cloth  |
| 1.1           | Whether the respondent washed the cloth before re-using       | Yes   | No   |
| 1.2           | Material/s used for washing clothes before re-using           | Water and soap, Water and antiseptic,<br>Water, soap and antiseptic**   | Water only   |
| 1.3           | Drying place of washed cloth                                  | Open sunny places   | Keeping in bed/other clothes, closed and shaded places, open but shaded places |
| 1.4           | Storing place of dried cloth before re-<br>using              | With other clothes  | Within the bathroom, hidden places within the bedroom                          |
| 2             | Frequency of changing absorbent in a day                      | Three or more times a day   | Less than three times a day  |
| 3             | Whether the respondent washed genitalia during menstruation   | Yes   | No   |
| 4             | frequency of washing genitalia in a day during menstruation   | Four or more times a day  | Less than four times a day   |
| 5             | Materials used for washing genitalia dur-<br>ing menstruation | Water and soap,<br>Water soap and antiseptic**  | Water only   |
| 6             | Whether the respondent took a bath dur-<br>ing menstruation   | Yes   | No   |
| 7             | Frequency of taking a bath during men-<br>struation           | At least a single time a day  | Irregular bathing or less than one time a day                                  |
| 8             | Disposal of sanitary materials after using                    | Burial under the soil, placing in a dustbin<br>with another wastage, placing in toilet's<br>commode and then flushing water on it** | Throwing into the river, throwing into the pond, throwing in open places       |

\*Indicators 1.1 to 1.4 for cloth users; the respondent who scored positive in each response were coded as positive for old cloth users and counted positive response for indicator 1

\*\*Practicing any one of these to manage menses was considered a positive response

For measurement purposes, healthy practices for each indicator were coded one, and unhealthy practices as zero. The first indicator was related to absorbent use for managing menstrual blood, where the use of sanitary pads and new cloth was regarded as healthy practices. However, the questions on washing cloth before re-using, materials used to a washcloth, place of drying and storing washed cloth (indicators 2.1 to 2.4 in Table 1) were only asked those who used the cloth to manage their menses [12, 19–21]. The positive response of all the four indicators about using old cloth was also considered healthy practices for indicator one. The other seven indicators included frequency of changing absorbent, washing of genitalia during menstruation, frequency of washing genitalia, the material used for washing genitalia, taking a bath during menstruation, frequency of bathing during menstruation, and ways of disposal of sanitary materials [7, 12, 15–20] and were applicable for all participants. Therefore, a score for the sum of eight indicators was used to measure MHM practices, with values ranging from zero to eight. The indicator was then categorized into bad (score of zero to five: up to 50%), fair (score of six: 50-75%), and good (score of seven and eight: 75-100%) MHM practices.

## Predictors

Socioeconomic and demographic variables were used as the independent variables, including age, family size, religion, wealth index, fathers' and mothers' education, fathers' and mothers' income, age of first menstruation, social connectivity, and information on menstruation before reaching menarche based on the existing literature [1, 10, 12, 15, 19, 21-29]. Age was categorized as  $\leq$  15 and  $\geq$  16 years old considering the year of schooling (class 9 and 10) and mean age (15.1) of the participants. Since mother and other female relatives were the primary sources of information on menstruation in Bangladesh [8], adolescent girls from small families may have a lower chance of receiving menstrual information; as the number of female relatives tends to be lower in these families. Thus, we decided to assess the relation between family size and menstrual hygiene management. Families with five or fewer members were defined as small, and more than five members as large families based on the average family size (4.4) in Bangladesh [30].

We used ten items on household possessions to generate an indicator of an individual's family wealth status. Questions included flooring type of the respondent's household, electricity connection, steel/wooden Almirah, smartphone, toilet, type of toilet, color television, refrigerator, air conditioner, and availability of transport used for a non-business purpose. All variables had binary responses (yes or no) except the type of toilet and transport. Positive responses were assigned value one, and negative answers were attributed zero. Type of toilet facility and transport were recoded into dummy variables. The availability of a motorcycle and/or cars/micro/ bicycle was considered a positive response. Similarly, the availability of open and/or *kancha* (mud) toilets was considered a negative response, while sanitary toilets (with or without water slab) were considered a positive response. One was attributed for both variables for a positive response and zero for a negative response. The principal component analysis (KMO=0.71) was used to measure the wealth index and categorized poor (bottom 40%), middle (next 40%), and rich (top 20%) following existing literature [24].

The perceived socioeconomic class was measured by two questions that asked participants about their perceptions of the specific class to which they belonged, with the response of very poor, poor, middle, upper, and uppermost. For analytical purposes, those who responded very poor and poor were assigned value one, the middle was attributed two, and the upper and uppermost were attributed three. The two variables were then summed to generate a variable with values ranging from two to six. Respondents attaining value 1–2 were defined as low, 3–4 as middle, and 5–6 were defined as a high socioeconomic class. As existing literature suggests, although there is a high unmet need for sanitary pads, many adolescent girls and women do not use them because of high costs [8]. At the same time, many women and adolescent girls still consider sanitary pads as a luxury item [31]. Thus, we incorporated this variable to assume that perceived socioeconomic class may affect sanitary pad use and other menstrual hygiene management practices. We also measured the fathers' income as low (up to BDT 10,000), middle (BDT 10,001 to 20,000), and high (BDT 20,001+); mothers' income as yes and no.

We used three variables to measure respondents' social connectivity: physical mobility, passing the time with friends outside the school, and internet use. Respondents who could travel more than one kilometer alone from home during the daytime without parental consent were defined as physically mobile, and those who could travel less than one kilometer as non-mobile. We considered a minimum distance of one kilometer based on the assumption that sanitary pads may not be available within this one square kilometer area, thus limiting the chance of buying sanitary pads by respondents themselves. Physical mobility was attributed value one, and non-mobility was attributed zero. Similarly, passing the time with friends other than school period and using the internet regularly had two possible responses; yes and no; positive answers were assigned value one, and negative answers were assigned zero. The three variables were summed to generate a score with values ranging from zero to three. Those who scored zero were considered not socially connected, those with 1-2 as moderately connected, and those scoring three as highly connected.

Respondents' perception towards pad use was measured using a set of four statements: (1) use of old clothes rather than pads to manage menses may increase the risk of reproductive tract infections, (2) use of sanitary pads to manage menses may prevent reproductive tract infections, (3) use of sanitary pads helps to regular menstruation, and (4) use of sanitary pads protects one from the fear of unwanted drop out of it. Each statement had five options; strongly agree, agree, do not know/not sure, disagree, and strongly disagree. Responses 'agree' and 'strongly disagree' were coded as positive responses, while the rest were negative responses.

## Analytical approach

We used cross-tabulation with the Chi-square test to examine urban-rural differences in various menstrual hygiene practices. We then estimated a multinomial logistic regression model to examine the factors associated with hygiene management practices. The results from cross-tabulations are presented as percentages, while those from multinomial logistic regression analysis are presented as [coefficient estimates/relative risk ratios (RRR)] with 95% confidence intervals (CI).

## Findings

## Socio-demographic characteristics of respondents

Table 2 represents the socio-demographic characteristics of respondents. Data show that the mean age of the respondents in this study was 15.5 (standard deviation is 0.71 years). Out of 586 girls, 254 (43.3%) lived in urban areas and the rest in rural areas. Most (71.8%) girls lived in small families with five members or fewer. Data regarding parents' education indicated that 7.8% of fathers and 5.1% of mothers did not have formal education. The majority of the respondents (81.1%) were from middle-class families. About a third (32.8%) of the adolescent girls did not have social connections, while 31.7% reported having high social connectivities.

## Menstrual knowledge of respondents

Results on menstrual knowledge are presented in Table 3. The mean age at first menstruation was 12.8 (standard deviation of 0.97 years), slightly higher in rural than urban areas (12.9 and 12.6 years, respectively; p = 0.008). Three-quarters (75%) of the respondents reported that they received information about menstruation before reaching menarche, while the rest did not receive any information before their first menstruation. Sources of information about menstruation were mothers (42.1%),

female friends (22.9%), female teachers (18.5%), sisters (13.2%), and others (3.2%). Results further show that half (50.2%) of the girls had positive perceptions about the use of sanitary pads. There were statistically significant variations in availability and sources of information and perception about the use of sanitary pads between adolescent girls in urban and rural settings. For instance, urban adolescents were more likely to receive information before menarche, specifically from female teachers, than their counterparts.

# Menstrual hygiene management practices of adolescent girls

Table 4 presents the findings and shows that the highest 37.7% of adolescent girls used sanitary pads and 27.1% used old/new cloth only to manage their menstrual blood. The use of absorbent does not vary statistically (p=0.47) between urban and rural areas. Among the cloth users (n = 363, including occasionally cloth users), nearly three-fourths (71.1%) reused the same cloth, and almost all (97.7%) washed these cloths before reusing. Water and soap were used as the main ingredient (57%) in urban and rural areas. In 51.6% of cases, the washed cloth was dried in open sunny places, and after drying, nearly three-fourths (74.7%) of them were stored in hidden places in the room. Nearly half (48.5%) of girls changed menstrual materials three times a day, and only 0.4% changed it four or more times. These practices did not vary based on place of residence. Almost all (98.8%) washed their genitalia during menstruation. Data show that 44.2% of girls washed their genitalia three times, 33.7% four or more times, and 8.4% once a day. Around 41% of girls used only water to wash genitalia, which was higher in urban areas than rural areas. Both washing frequency and materials varied significantly between the two areas. Urban girls were more likely to wash their genitalia four or more times a day than rural girls, while rural girls were less likely to use water only to wash external genitalia than urban girls. More than three-fourths of the girls (77.5%) took a bath regularly during menstruation. After use, 55.3% of the girls buried their sanitary materials under the soil, and 20.2% threw them in the pond or river-the practice of disposing of sanitary materials varied between the two areas. Rural girls were more likely to throw their used sanitary materials into ponds or rivers; on the other hand, more urban girls buried them under the soil.

## Barriers to continuous use of sanitary pad

Table 5 presents barriers to the continuous use of sanitary pads. Among the sanitary pad users, including mixed users (sometimes cloth and sometimes pad users), respondents (45.5%) and their parents (25.1% by mothers

## Table 2 Background characteristics of respondents

| Background characteristics    | Urban, n (%) | Rural, n (%) | Total, n (%) | P-value |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------|
| Age                           |              |              |              | 0.005   |
| ≥15                           | 117 (46.1)   | 192 (57.8)   | 309 (52.7)   |         |
| <u>≤</u> 16                   | 137 (53.9)   | 140 (42.2)   | 277 (47.3)   |         |
| Family size                   |              |              |              | 0.944   |
| Small                         | 181 (71.3)   | 240 (72.3)   | 421 (71.8)   |         |
| Large                         | 73 (28.7)    | 92 (27.7)    | 165 (28.2)   |         |
| Religion                      |              |              |              | < 0.001 |
| Islam                         | 237 (93.3)   | 306 (92.2)   | 543 (92.7)   |         |
| Hindu                         | 17 (6.7)     | 26 (7.8)     | 43 (7.3)     |         |
| Wealth Index                  |              |              |              | < 0.001 |
| Poor                          | 77 (30.3)    | 159 (49.9)   | 236 (40.3)   |         |
| Middle                        | 123 (48.4)   | 110 (33.1)   | 233 (39.8)   |         |
| Rich                          | 54 (21.3)    | 63 (19.0)    | 117 (20.0)   |         |
| Father's education            |              |              |              | < 0.001 |
| Illiterate                    | 6 (2.4)      | 40 (12.0)    | 46 (7.8)     |         |
| Primary                       | 111 (43.7)   | 103 (31.0)   | 214 (36.5)   |         |
| Secondary                     | 69 (27.2)    | 121 (36.4)   | 190 (32.4)   |         |
| Higher Secondary and above    | 68 (26.8)    | 68 (20.5)    | 136 (23.2)   |         |
| Mother's education            |              |              |              | 0.009   |
| Illiterate                    | 7 (2.8)      | 23 (6.9)     | 30 (5.1)     |         |
| Primary                       | 76 (29.9)    | 89 (26.8)    | 165 (28.2)   |         |
| Secondary                     | 147 (57.9)   | 190 (57.2)   | 337 (57.5)   |         |
| Higher Secondary and above    | 24 (9.4)     | 30 (9.0)     | 54(9.2)      |         |
| Father's income               |              |              |              | <.001   |
| Low                           | 97 (38.2)    | 194 (58.4)   | 291 (49.73)  |         |
| Middle                        | 116 (45.7)   | 115 (34.6)   | 231 (39.4)   |         |
| High                          | 41 (16.1)    | 23 (6.9)     | 64 (10.9)    |         |
| Mother's income               |              |              |              | 0.911   |
| No                            | 190 (74.8)   | 247 (74.4)   | 437 (74.6)   |         |
| Yes                           | 6 (25.2)     | 85 (25.6)    | 149 (25.4)   |         |
| Perceived socioeconomic class |              |              |              | < 0.001 |
| Lower                         | 27 (10.6)    | 24 (7.2)     | 51 (8.7)     |         |
| Middle                        | 216 (85.0)   | 259 (78.0)   | 475 (81.1)   |         |
| Upper                         | 11 (4.3)     | 49 (14.8)    | 60 (10.2)    |         |
| Social connectivity           |              |              |              | 0.218   |
| No connection                 | 74 (29.1)    | 118 (35.5)   | 192 (32.8)   |         |
| Moderate connection           | 98 (38.6)    | 110 (33.1)   | 208 (35.5)   |         |
| High connection               | 82 (32.3)    | 104 (31.3)   | 186 (31.7)   |         |

and 20.6% by fathers) were the primary buyers of sanitary pads. However, these sources varied based on place of residence. More girls in urban areas relied on their parents to buy sanitary materials, while more rural girls themselves bought them. More than one-third of girls felt shy to buy sanitary materials from male shopkeepers (36.1%), and this shyness increased in the presence of a male in the shop other than the shopkeeper (60.1%). More than three-fourths (76.1%) of adolescent girls could not use sanitary pads continuously due to their absence at home during their menstruation. In this circumstance, they either used old/new clothes (66.2%) or borrowed sanitary pads (28.4%) from others or took other measures to manage this. Among all-time cloth users, including old and new cloth, 71.8% wished to use sanitary pads but could not because of high cost (35.6%), feeling of embarrassment to buy sanitary pads (37.5%), unavailability of sanitary pads at nearby shops (10.6%) and other

 Table 3
 Distribution of adolescent girls by knowledge, perceptions, and experiences of menstruation

| Background characteristics           | Urban, n (%)  | Rural, n (%) | Total, n (%) | P-value |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------|
| Age of first menstruation            |               |              |              | 0.008   |
| ≥12                                  | 104 (40.9)    | 98 (29.5)    | 202 (34.5)   |         |
| <u>≤</u> 13                          | 150 (59.1)    | 234 (70.5)   | 384 (65.5)   |         |
| Information availability before read | hing menarche |              |              | 0.024   |
| Yes                                  | 203 80.2)     | 236 (71.1)   | 439 (75.0)   |         |
| No                                   | 50 (19.8)     | 96 (28.9)    | 146 (25.0)   |         |
| Source of information                |               |              |              | < 0.001 |
| Mother                               | 77 (38.3)     | 105 (45.5)   | 182 (42.1)   |         |
| Female friend                        | 38 (18.9)     | 61 (26.4)    | 99 (22.9)    |         |
| Sister                               | 21 (10.4)     | 36 (15.6)    | 57 (13.2)    |         |
| Teacher                              | 59 (29.4)     | 21 (9.1)     | 80 (18.5)    |         |
| Others                               | 6 (3.0)       | 8 (3.5)      | 14 (3.2)     |         |
| Perception of sanitary pad use       |               |              |              | < 0.001 |
| Positive                             | 103 (40.6)    | 191 (57.5)   | 294 (50.2)   |         |
| Negative                             | 151 (59.4)    | 141 (42.5)   | 292 (49.8)   |         |

(16.3%) causes. Adolescent girls' unwilling to use sanitary pads mentioned relaxation to use cloths (60%), unnecessary money expending (27.5%), rashes (10%), and other (2.5%) causes as a reason.

# Association between socioeconomic factors and menstrual hygiene management practices

The classification of menstrual hygiene management practices indicated that 36.9% of respondents followed bad, 33.4%, and 29.7% followed fair and good practices (Table 6). Variations in menstrual hygiene management practices show that urban adolescent girls had more good and fair practices (p=0.05) than rural girls. Similarly, girls from small families had more good practices than girls from large families (p = 0.030). Parental education, parental income, and age at first menstruation were also statistically significant (Table 6). At the same time, religion, wealth index, perceived socioeconomic class, information before menstruation, perception toward pad use, and social connectivity were not significantly associated with menstrual hygiene management practices. Girls who experienced first menstruation at or after thirteen years of age were more likely to practice good and fair management than those who experienced first menstruation at earlier ages.

Only significant variables (p-value of 0.05 or less) from the bivariate analysis were entered into regression analysis (Table 7). The results show that the girls aged up to 15 years were less likely to have bad menstrual hygiene management practices (RRR: 0.50; 95% CI: 0.32–0.80, P=0.004) than if they did not have good management practices than the girls aged 16 and above years. Urban girls were less likely (RRR: 0.61; 95% CI: 0.38–0.98, P=0.045) to have bad menstrual hygiene management practices if they did not have good management practices compared to those from rural areas. Adolescent girls from small families were significantly less likely to have bad (RRR: 0.52; 95% CI: 0.31-0.86) menstrual hygiene management practices if they did not have good management practices compared to those from large families. Girls whose fathers had no formal (RRR: 2.28; 95% CI: 1.16–9.28, P=0.025), primary (RRR: 3.56; 95% CI: 1.84– 6.88, *P*<0.001) and secondary education (RRR: 3.11; 95%) CI: 1.67–5.82, P < 0.001) were more likely to have bad menstrual hygiene management practices compared to those whose fathers had HSC or higher levels of education. Girls who experienced their first menstruation at 12 years or earlier were 66% more likely to practice bad menstrual hygiene management than those who experienced their first menstruation at 13 years or older (RRR: 1.66; 95% CI: 1.05-2.63, P = 0.030).

## Discussions

The main objectives of this study were to explore the menstrual hygiene management practices, the urbanrural differences in the practices, and the determinants of such practices. Our findings indicate that the mean age of respondents and the mean age of first menstruation in the study were 15.5 and 12.8 years, respectively. The mean age at first menstruation was slightly higher in rural (12.9) areas than urban areas (12.6). These findings are consistent with similar studies [7, 10, 19, 32– 34]. Proper education and information on menstruation before reaching menarche are the right to information of adolescent girls and are crucial for healthy menstrual management. A cross-sectional study conducted by

# Table 4 Menstrual hygiene management practice of adolescent girls

| Indicators of MHM practice                | Urban, n (%) | Rural, n (%) | Total, n (%)       | P-value |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------------|---------|
| Absorbent used                            |              |              |                    | 0.470   |
| Sanitary pad                              | 106 (41.7)   | 114 (34.7)   | 220 (37.7)         |         |
| Sometimes pad sometimes cloth             | 87 (34.3)    | 118 (35.9)   | 205 (35.2)         |         |
| Old cloth                                 | 40 (15.7)    | 69 (21.0)    | 109 (18.7)         |         |
| New cloth                                 | 21 (8.3)     | 28 (f8.5)    | 49 (8.4)           |         |
| Reuse of cloth                            |              |              |                    | 0.033   |
| No  | 51 (34.9)    | 53 (24.8)    | 104 (28.9)         |         |
| Yes                                       | 95 (65.1)    | 161 (72.2)   | 256 (71.1)         |         |
| Washing of cloth before reuse             |              |              |                    | а       |
| Yes                                       | 92 (93.9)    | 163 (100.0)  | 255 (97.7)         |         |
| No  | 6 (6.1)      | 00 (0.0)     | 6 (2.3)            |         |
| Washing materials                         |              |              |                    | 0.057   |
| Water and soap                            | 46 (47.9)    | 100 (62.1)   | 146 (57.0)         |         |
| Water and antiseptic                      | 12 (12.5)    | 21 (13.0)    | 33 (12.9)          |         |
| Water soap and antiseptic                 | 31 (32.3)    | 27 (16.8)    | 58 (22.7)          |         |
| Water only                                | 7 (7.3)      | 12 (7.5)     | 19 (7.4)           |         |
| Place of drying washed cloth before reuse |              |              |                    | а       |
| By keeping in bed or other clothes        | 3 (3.1)      | 9 (5.7)      | 12 (4.7)           |         |
| In shaded and closed place                | 23 (23.7)    | 45 (28.3)    | 68 (26.6)          |         |
| In shaded but open place                  | 13 (13.4)    | 31 (19.5)    | 44 (17.2)          |         |
| In open sunny place                       | 58 (59.8)    | 74 (46.5)    | 132 (51.6)         |         |
| Place of storing dried cloth              |              |              |                    | 0.157   |
| Hidden place within the room              | 78 (81.3)    | 111 (70.7)   | 189 (74.7)         |         |
| With other clothes                        | 9 (9.4)      | 26 (16.6)    | 35 (13.8)          |         |
| Within the bathroom                       | 9 (9,4)      | 20 (12.7)    | 29 (11.5)          |         |
| Frequency of changing absorbent           |              |              |                    | а       |
| l ess than one time a day                 | 00 (0.0)     | 12 (3.7)     | 12 (2.1)           |         |
| One time per day                          | 23 (9.3)     | 33 (10.2)    | 56 (9.8)           |         |
| Two times per day                         | 96 (38.9)    | 128 (39.5)   | 224 (39.2)         |         |
| Three times per day                       | 126 (51.0)   | 151 (46.6)   | 277 (48.5)         |         |
| Four or more times per day                | 2 (0.8)      | 00 (0 0)     | 2 (4)              |         |
| Genitalia washing during menstruation     | 2 (0.0)      | 00 (0.0)     | - ()               | a       |
| Yes                                       | 246 (99 6)   | 314 (98 1)   | 560 (98.8)         | 4       |
| No  | 1 (0 4)      | 6 (1 9)      | 7 (1 2)            |         |
| Genitalia washing frequency               | 1 (0.1)      | 0(1.5)       | / (1.2)            | < 0.001 |
| One time per day                          | 18 (7 3)     | 29 (9 2)     | 47 (84)            | < 0.001 |
| Two times per day                         | 20 (8 1)     | 57 (18 2)    | 77 (13 7)          |         |
| Three times per day                       | 107 (43 3)   | 141 (44.9)   | 248 (44 2)         |         |
| Four or more times per day                | 107 (41 1)   | 87 (27 7)    | 189 (337)          |         |
| Genitalia washing material                | 102 (11.1)   | 07 (27.7)    | 105 (55.7)         | a       |
| Water only                                | 117 (47 4)   | 112 (35 3)   | 229 (40.6)         | u       |
| Water and soan                            | 67 (27 1)    | 151 (47.6)   | 218 (38 7)         |         |
| Water soap and antisentic                 | 62 (25.1)    | 54 (17.0)    | 116 (20.6)         |         |
| Others                                    | 1 (0 4)      | 00 (0 0)     | 1 (0 2)            |         |
| Taking a bath during menstruation         | 1 (0.4)      | 00 (0.0)     | 1 (0.2)            | a       |
|   | 250 (100 0)  | 324 (00 4)   | 574 (00 7)         | a       |
| No  |              | 2 (0 6)      | ) (A 3)<br>) (A 3) |         |
| Frequency of bathing during monstruction  | 00 (0.0)     | 2 (0.0)      | 2 (0.3)            | c       |
| Loss than one time a day                  | 60 (24 6)    | 56 (17 2)    | 116 (20 4)         | a       |
| Less that one time a day                  | 00 (24.0)    | JU (17.2)    | 110 (20.4)         |         |

## Table 4 (continued)

| Indicators of MHM practice                   | Urban, n (%) | Rural, n (%) | Total, n (%) | P-value |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------|
| One time per day                             | 181 (74.2)   | 260 (80.0)   | 441 (77.5)   |         |
| Two or more time per day                     | 3 (1.2)      | 9 (2.8)      | 12 (2.1)     |         |
| Ways of disposing ofsanitary materials       |              |              |              | а       |
| Throwing into pond or river                  | 10 (4.0)     | 106 (32.6)   | 116 (20.2)   |         |
| Throwing in open places                      | 1 (0.4)      | 6(1.8)       | 7 (1.2)      |         |
| Burying under the soil                       | 192 (77.4)   | 125 (38.5)   | 317 (55.3)   |         |
| Throwing into the dustbin with other wastage | 37 (59.7)    | 25 (40.3)    | 62 (10.8)    |         |
| Throwing into the commode and pouring water  | 4 (1.6)      | 60 (18.5)    | 64 (11.2)    |         |
| Others                                       | 4 (1.6)      | 03 (0.9)     | 7 (1.2)      |         |

'a' refers that the assumption of the chi-square test did not fulfill for this variable

# Table 5 Barriers to continuous sanitary pad use

| Selected barriers to continuous sanitary pad use         | Urban, n (%)              | Rural, n (%) | Total n, (%) | P-value |
|--|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------|
| Sanitary pad buyer                                       |                           |              |              | < 0.001 |
| Respondent herself                                       | 81 (40.5)                 | 115 (49.8)   | 196 (45.5)   |         |
| Mother   | 53 (26.5)                 | 55 (23.8)    | 108 (25.1)   |         |
| Father   | 58 (29.0)                 | 31 (13.4)    | 89 (20.6)    |         |
| Sister   | 6 (3.0)                   | 20 (8.7)     | 26 (6.0)     |         |
| Brother and or friends and or others                     | 2 (1.0)                   | 10 (4.3)     | 12 (2.8)     |         |
| Feeling of shyness to buy sanitary materials from a ma   | le shopkeeper             |              |              | 0.010   |
| Yes  | 21 (24.7)                 | 53 (44.2)    | 74 (36.1)    |         |
| No   | 64 (75.3)                 | 67 55.8)     | 131 (63.9)   |         |
| Feeling of shyness to buy a sanitary pad in the presence | e of male/s other than th | e shopkeeper |              | < 0.001 |
| Yes  | 38 (45.8)                 | 81 (70.4)    | 119 (60.1)   |         |
| No   | 45 (54.2)                 | 34 (29.6)    | 79 (39.9)    |         |
| Total reserve of the sanitary pad at home during mension | truation                  |              |              | 0.141   |
| No   | 155 (78.3)                | 164 (74.2)   | 319 (76.1)   |         |
| Yes  | 43 (21.7)                 | 57 (25.8)    | 100 (23.9)   |         |
| Measures taken in the absence of sanitary pad during     | menstruation              |              |              | 0.047   |
| Uses old cloth   | 32 (20.6)                 | 59 (36.4)    | 91 (28.7)    |         |
| Uses new cloth   | 67 (43.2)                 | 52 (32.1)    | 119 (37.5)   |         |
| Borrows sanitary pad from other                          | 46 (29.7)                 | 44 (27.2)    | 90 (28.4)    |         |
| Others   | 10 (6.5)                  | 7 (4.3)      | 17 (5.4)     |         |
| Non-pad users desire for using sanitary pad during me    | nstruation                |              |              | 0.203   |
| Yes  | 37 (78.7)                 | 70 (68.6)    | 107 (71.8)   |         |
| No   | 10 (21.3)                 | 32 (31.4)    | 42 (28.2)    |         |
| Cause of not using sanitary pad currently despite being  | g desired                 |              |              | 0.271   |
| Pads are very costly                                     | 15 (44.1)                 | 22 (31.4)    | 37 (35.6)    |         |
| Feels embarrassed to buy sanitary pads                   | 13 (38.2)                 | 26 (37.1)    | 39 (37.5)    |         |
| Shop where pads are available is at long distance        | 3 (8.8)                   | 8 (11.4)     | 11 (10.6)    |         |
| Others   | 3 (8.8)                   | 14 (20.0)    | 17 (16.3)    |         |
| Cause of not willing to use sanitary pads                |                           |              |              | 0.498   |
| Feels relaxed to use cloth                               | 07 (53.8)                 | 17 (63.0)    | 24 (60.0)    |         |
| Sanitary pads cause unnecessary money spending           | 04 (30.8)                 | 07 (25.9)    | 11 (27.5)    |         |
| Sanitary pad causes rashes or other problems             | 01 (7.7)                  | 03 (11.1)    | 4 (10.0)     |         |
| Others   | 01 (7.7)                  | 00 (0.0)     | 1 (2.5)      |         |

| Variable                     | Bad, n=216 (%)   | Fair, n = 196 (%) | Good, n = 174 (%) | P-value |
|------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Place of residence           |                  |                   |                   | 0.050   |
| Urban                        | 83 (32.7)        | 89 (35.0)         | 82 (32.3)         |         |
| Rural                        | 133 (40.1)       | 107 (32.2)        | 92 (27.7)         |         |
| Age                          |                  |                   |                   | 0.002   |
| > 15                         | 98 (31.6)        | 102 (32.9)        | 110 (35.5)        |         |
| _<br><16                     | 118 (42.8)       | 94 (34.1)         | 64 (23.2)         |         |
| Religion                     |                  |                   |                   | 0.654   |
| Islam                        | 200 (36.8)       | 184 (33.9)        | 159 (29.3)        |         |
| Hindu                        | 16 (27.2)        | 12 (27.9)         | 15 (34.9)         |         |
| Family size                  |                  |                   |                   | 0.030   |
| Small                        | 144 (34.3)       | 139 (33.1)        | 137 (32.6)        |         |
| Large                        | 72 (43.4)        | 57 (34.3)         | 37 (22.3)         |         |
| Wealth quintile              |                  |                   |                   | 0.149   |
| Poor                         | 81 (34.3)        | 86 (36.4)         | 69 (29.2)         |         |
| Middle                       | 94 (40.3)        | 64 (27.5)         | 75 (32.2)         |         |
| Rich                         | 41 (35.0)        | 46 (39.3)         | 30 (25.6)         |         |
| Father's education           |                  |                   |                   | < 0.001 |
| Illiterate                   | 18 (39.1)        | 18 (39.1)         | 10 (21.7)         |         |
| Primary                      | 89 (41.6)        | 74 (34.6)         | 51 (23.8)         |         |
| Secondary                    | 77 (41.0)        | 60 (31.9)         | 51 (27.1)         |         |
| HSC and/or Above             | 32 (23.2)        | 44 (31.9)         | 62 (44.9)         |         |
| Mother's education           |                  |                   |                   | 0.007   |
| Illiterate                   | 5 (16.7)         | 13 (43.3)         | 12 (40.0)         |         |
| Primary                      | 69 (41.8)        | 63 (38.2)         | 33 (20.0)         |         |
| Secondary                    | 127 (37.9)       | 101 (30.1)        | 107 (31.9)        |         |
| HSC and/or Above             | 15 (26.8)        | 19 (33.9)         | 22 (39.3)         |         |
| Father's income              |                  |                   |                   | 0.001   |
| Low                          | 120 (41.2)       | 102 (35.1)        | 69 (23.7)         |         |
| Middle                       | 76 (32.9)        | 65 (28.1)         | 90 (39.0)         |         |
| High                         | 20 (31.3)        | 29 (45.3)         | 15 (23.4)         |         |
| Mother's income              |                  |                   |                   | 0.745   |
| No                           | 161 (36.8)       | 143 (32.7)        | 133 (30.4)        |         |
| Yes                          | 55 (39.6)        | 53 (25.6)         | 41 (27.5)         |         |
| Perceived socioeconomic cl   | lass             |                   |                   | 0.099   |
| Low                          | 11 (21.6)        | 22 (43.1)         | 18 (35.3)         |         |
| Middle                       | 180 (38.0)       | 151 (31.9)        | 143 (30.2)        |         |
| High                         | 25 (41.0)        | 23 (37.7)         | 13 (21.3)         |         |
| Social connectivity          |                  |                   |                   | 0.116   |
| No                           | 79 (41.1)        | 67 (34.9)         | 46 (24.0)         |         |
| Moderate                     | 80 (38.5)        | 65 (31.3)         | 63 (30.3)         |         |
| High                         | 57 (30.6)        | 64 (34.4)         | 65 (34.9)         |         |
| Age of first menstruation    |                  |                   |                   | 0.010   |
| ≥ 12                         | 91 (45.0)        | 61 (30.2)         | 50 (24.8)         |         |
| _<br>≤13                     | 125 (32.6)       | 135 (35.2)        | 124 (32.3)        |         |
| Information availability bef | ore menstruation |                   |                   | 0.866   |
| No                           | 56 (38.4)        | 49 (33.6)         | 41 (28.1)         |         |
| Yes                          | 160 (36.4)       | 147 (33.4)        | 133 (30.2)        |         |
| Perceptions towards Pad us   | se .             | . ,               |                   | 0.450   |
| Negative                     | 101 (34.4)       | 102 (34.7)        | 91 (31.0)         |         |

Table 6 Bivariate associations between socioeconomic-demographic factors and menstrual hygiene management practices

| Variable | Bad, n = 216 (%) | Fair, n = 196 (%) | Good, n = 174 (%) | P-value |
|----------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Positive | 115 (39.4)       | 94 (32.2)         | 83 (28.4)         |         |
| Total    | 216 (36.9)       | 196 (33.4)        | 174 (29.7)        |         |

Table 7 Relative risk ratios from multinomial logistic regression analysis examining factors associated with menstrual hygiene management practices among adolescent girls

| Variable Name (Ref=Good)                   | Bad               |         | Fair              |       |
|--|-------------------|---------|-------------------|-------|
|  | RRR (95% CI)      | Sig     | RRR (95% CI)      | Sig   |
| Place of residence (Ref = Rural)           |                   |         |                   |       |
| Urban                                      | 0.61 (0.38, 0.98) | 0.045   | 0.83 (0.52, 1.33) | 0.436 |
| Age (Ref = $\geq$ 16)                      |                   |         |                   |       |
| <u>≥</u> 15                                | 0.50 (0.32, 0.80) | 0.004   | 0.71 (0.44, 1.13) | 0.146 |
| Family size (Ref = Large)                  |                   |         |                   |       |
| Small                                      | 0.52 (0.31, 0.86) | 0.011   | 0.66 (0.40, 1.10) | 0.115 |
| Religion (Ref = Hindu)                     |                   |         |                   |       |
| Islam                                      | 1.31 (0.59, 2.90) | 0.510   | 1.51 (0.65, 3.49) | 0.338 |
| Fathers' education (Ref = HSC and/or       | r Above)          |         |                   |       |
| Illiterate                                 | 3.28 (1.16, 9.28) | 0.025   | 1.84 (0.67, 5.03) | 0.238 |
| Primary                                    | 3.56 (1.84, 6.88) | < 0.001 | 1.96 (1.04, 3.71) | 0.039 |
| Secondary                                  | 3.11 (1.67, 5.82) | < 0.001 | 1.64 (0.90, 3.01) | 0.108 |
| Mothers' education (Ref = HSC and/o        | or Above)         |         |                   |       |
| Illiterate                                 | 0.20 (0.05, 0.83) | 0.027   | 0.81 (0.23, 2.79) | 0.738 |
| Primary                                    | 1.07 (0.41, 2.75) | 0.895   | 1.45 (0.59, 3.61) | 0.420 |
| Secondary                                  | 0.97 (0.44, 2.16) | 0.943   | 0.93 (0.44, 1.99) | 0.860 |
| Fathers' income (Ref = High)               |                   |         |                   |       |
| Low  | 0.91 (0.39, 2.13) | 0.827   | 0.62 (0.28, 1.39) | 0.244 |
| Middle                                     | 0.50 (0.23, 1.12) | 0.094   | 0.32 (0.15, 0.69) | 0.003 |
| Perceived socioeconomic class (Ref=        | = High)           |         |                   |       |
| Low  | 0.32 (0.10, 0.98) | 0.047   | 0.50 (0.18, 1.41) | 0.189 |
| Middle                                     | 0.69 (0.32, 1.52) | 0.358   | 0.54 (0.25, 1.18) | 0.124 |
| Age of first menstruation (Ref = $\leq 13$ | 3)                |         |                   |       |
| ≥12  | 1.66 (1.05, 2.63) | 0.030   | 1.07 (0.67, 1.72) | 0.771 |
| Model summary                              |                   |         |                   |       |
| AIC of the null model                      | 1025.2            |         |                   |       |
| AIC of final model                         | 992.1             |         |                   |       |
| Cox and Snell R square                     | 0.153             |         |                   |       |
| Nagelkerke R square                        | 0.172             |         |                   |       |

RRR relative risk ratios, CI confidence interval, Sig. significance (probability value)

Alam et al. (2017) shows that 64% of girls did not know about menstruation before reaching menarche [10]. The Bangladesh National Hygiene Baseline Survey 2014 also described that only 36% were informed about menstruation among the students before reaching menarche [7]. Compared to these studies, our study indicates that about 75% of the respondents received information about menstruation before reaching menarche, which indicates an increase in getting menstrual information before reaching menarche.

Before reaching menarche, getting information regarding menstruation was positively but not significantly associated with menstrual hygiene management practices. Like other studies, this study also found that mothers and sisters together were the two primary sources of menstruation-related information [7, 10, 19, 35, 36]. This finding is important because mothers with a lower level of knowledge can transfer very little regarding menstruation and often transfer misconceptions to their girl child [9]. On the other hand, high literacy and slight inhibition of mothers sharing their accurate knowledge to their daughters can positively affect adolescent girls' conception and healthy management of menstruation over generations [19].

The absorbent used for managing menstrual blood is a primary health concern. The reuse of cloths without maintaining proper hygiene may increase the risk of reproductive tract infections [19]. The prevalence of using sanitary pads was 37.7%, significantly higher than previous studies conducted in Bangladesh [7, 8, 10, 12, 31]. According to our study, among the cloth users, including sometimes sanitary pads and sometimes cloth users, 71.1% reused cloths for absorbing menstrual blood, and 97.7% washed those materials before reusing; among them, 57% used water and soap, and 7.4% used water only. These findings were consistent with similar studies [1, 7, 10, 15, 19, 25, 37]. Re-using of clothes may even be better when proper sanitization is maintained as cotton cloths are reusable, readily available, and more environment-friendly than commercial sanitary pads [38]. However, drying sanitary materials in open and sunny places before re-using (51.6%) was significantly higher. Moreover, 74.7% of the respondent stored their dried clothes in hidden places within the room, and 13.8% with other cloths for reuse, and these findings differed from other studies [7, 12, 15].

Regular changing sanitary materials is a prerequisite for maintaining good menstrual hygiene management. Although there is no hard and fast rule of changing sanitary materials during menstruation, it depends mostly on blood flow, varying from person to person. However, it is suggested to change absorbent at least every four to eight hours during the menstrual cycle [7]. We considered changing sanitary materials at least three times a day to be good practice. This study results suggest that about 48.5% of the respondents changed their sanitary materials three times a day during menstruation, and this finding was also consistent with existing similar studies [7, 10]. Rechanging sanitary materials and regular washing of external genitalia maintaining proper hygiene are crucial for healthy menstrual management. One study suggests that those who washed the body and external genitalia with water only were 2.4 times more likely to be asymptomatic of one or more urogenital diseases than those who used both water and soap for washing [39]. This study shows that 33.7% of respondents washed their genitalia four or more times, and another 44.2% washed it three times per day. In comparison, 38.7% of adolescent girls used water and soap for washing their external genitalia. These findings were identical to two similar studies conducted in India [19, 40].

Disposal of sanitary materials properly is as important as other indicators of the menstrual hygiene management. Unhygienic disposal of sanitary materials in rivers, ponds, or even under the soil may increase the risk of infection of Hepatitis and HIV as sanitary materials soaked with the blood of an infected girl/woman may contain these Bacteria and viruses [38]. This study indicates that more than 55% of the participants disposed of their used sanitary materials under the soil. We found that 20.2% of adolescent girls threw their sanitary materials in ponds/rivers. This unhygienic behavior of disposing of used sanitary materials may put hundreds of people at risk of infection with fatal diseases like Hepatitis and HIV. Many people in Bangladesh use river and/or pond water for bathing and domestic washing purposes [41]. According to this study, the unmet need for disposable sanitary pads among non-pad users was 71.8%. Despite the high need, they (35.6%) could not use because of the high cost of sanitary pads and the embarrassment of buying pads from male shopkeepers (37.5%). Similar results were found in previous studies [1, 8, 37].

This study found that age, religion, family size, parental education, fathers' income, perceived socioeconomic class, and age at first menstruation had a significant statistical association with menstrual hygiene management practices at the bivariate level, and these findings are similar to other studies [8, 25, 37, 42]. Being Muslims, members of a large family and children of less-educated fathers were associated with bad menstrual hygiene management practices. In Bangladesh, Muslim adolescent girls are more conservative, which may result in lower access to modern sanitary materials. On the other hand, girls from well-off families and daughters of educated parents may have more accessibility, affordability to sanitary pads, and more chance of getting information on menstrual hygiene management [8, 25, 37, 42, 43]. This study observed significant urban-rural differences in terms of menstrual hygiene management practices. Like all other indicators of demography and health [43, 44], the residents of urban areas have better menstrual hygiene management practices than rural areas. As a result, the percentage of respondents who did not participate in social activities, school, or work due to their last menstruation was significantly higher in rural areas than urban [43].

## Limitations of the study

This study used a cross-sectional research design. Thus, causal inferences about relationships observed in the data cannot be established. Another limitation of the study was that it was confined to a single geographic location (Rajshahi) in Bangladesh. Therefore, findings from the study may not represent the experiences of all adolescent girls in the country. Due to the sensitivity of the research topic, during the data collection procedure, we faced some challenges. One of the biggest challenges was the hesitancy of the heads of selected schools and the parents of primarily selected respondents' to allow their girls to participate in the survey. We had to go through a lengthy discussion to convince them in this regard. Even so, we faced complete refusal from at least one identified institution. Although we primarily intended to record responses by a trained interviewer, we had to rely on selfadministration of the questionnaire by the respondents as the heads of the selected institutions did not allow male interviewers to conduct face-to-face interviews. Participating respondents were seated in a class environment where at least two or more respondents were seated on a single bench. We suspected that some of them had either been influenced by the fellow respondent or copied responses from others. A face-to-face interview would produce more quality data. We also noticed that some respondents were too shy to ask for help from their overseer even though they could not understand a particular question properly. Finally, the sample size of this study was relatively small; as a result, true population parameters may not be found.

## Conclusion

The primary objective of our study was to assess the menstrual hygiene management practices of adolescent girls, the urban-rural differences, if any, of the practices, and the determinants of such practices. Although the research findings show that many adolescent girls have information before reaching menarche about menstrual hygiene management, it should be considered that nearly one-fourth of respondents reach at menarche without any prior information about this. This study suggests that girls reaching menarche without prior information are more likely to practice bad menstrual hygiene management. Thus, measures must be taken to ensure information availability about menstrual management before a girl reaches her menarche through proper channels. As mothers and sister/s are the two significant sources of menstrual information, and as they often translate their misconception and attitude to adolescent girls, steps should be taken to change adult females' attitudes and knowledge towards menstrual management. This study shows that more than one-fourth of adolescent girls use cloth, and another 35.2% sometimes use pads and sometimes cloths to manage their menses; therefore, measures must be taken to ensure a continuous supply of pads for all. Although nearly three-quarters of non-pad users wish to use sanitary pads, more than one-third cannot use them because of the high cost of sanitary pads. Among cloths users, more than one-fourth do not even want to use pads because it causes unnecessary money expending. Thus, it is essential to make pads available at affordable costs. Shyness to buy pads and unavailability of pads at nearby places also prevent many adolescent girls from pad use; thus, existing social norms regarding menstrual hygiene management must be changed. All those necessary measures must be taken to ensure adolescent girls' healthy menstrual management to meet the SDG goals of good health and wellbeing, quality education, gender equality, and clean water and sanitation.

#### Abbreviations

HPV: Human Papillomavirus; RTI: Reproductive tract infections; STD: Sexually transmitted disease; SDG: Sustainable Development Goal.

### Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the Department of Population Sciences, the University of Dhaka, to approve this research. We also acknowledge all the unknown respondents who participated in the study.

### Authors' contributions

MATH and MZA conceptualized the study. Both authors completed the analysis, drafted the manuscript, and reviewed the draft manuscripts. After reading thoroughly and carefully, both authors approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

There was no funding for this research.

#### Availability of data and materials

This research was conducted as a part of partial fulfillment of the Bachelor of Social Sciences (BSS) honors degree from the Department of Population Sciences, University of Dhaka. The Department of Population Sciences, University of Dhaka has the right to reserve the data. Therefore, data cannot be provided in supplementary file or deposited in a public repository. However, the dataset could be obtained from the Department of Population Sciences, University of Dhaka (https://www.dpsdu.edu.bd/index.php/en/) or the corresponding author on reasonable request.

## Declarations

### Ethics approval and consent to participate

This manuscript is a part of a research monograph submitted to the Department of Population Sciences, the University of Dhaka, for partial fulfillment of the bachelor (undergraduate) degree. The Academic Committee (AC) of the Department of Population Sciences, University of Dhaka, approved the topic and study protocol. The AC is the responsible body that handles all aspects of the research monograph. The present study was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Moreover, the research evaluation committee of the Department of Population Sciences, University of Dhaka, approved the study and provided written permission before the field visit. Most of the respondents were below the age of 18 years. As a result, verbal consent was taken from parents. The study's objectives were clearly stated to each parent (in some cases, the questionnaire was provided) and asked permission whether we can interview their children using the following question 'do you (parent/guardian) agree that your daughter will participate in this study after reading the information about this research?'We also took the respondents' informed consent and the headteachers of the selected schools after reading out the research objectives to them. We interviewed the respondents after getting approval from parents, respondents, and the institutional head.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable

### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 29 November 2020 Accepted: 14 March 2022 Published online: 23 March 2022

## References

- Salim F, Begum N. Hygienic practices during menstruation among adolescent school girls. North Int Med Coll J. 2016;7:139–42.
- Sumpter C, Torondel B. A systematic review of the health and social effects of menstrual hygiene management. PLoS One. 2013;8.
- Water Supply & Sanitation Collaborative Council. Celebrating Womanhood: How better menstrual hygiene management is the path to better health, dignity and business: Break the silence! 2013. https://www.issue lab.org/resources/19523/19523.pdf.
- Bay SA. Moving toward a holistic menstrual hygiene management: an anthropological analysis of menstruation and practices in Western and Non-Western Societies. Grad Student Theses, Diss Prof Pap. 2017.
- Kumar A, Srivastava K. Cultural and social practices regarding menstruation among adolescent girls. Soc Work Public Health. 2011;26:594–604.
- Kaiser S. Menstrual hygiene management. The SSWM toolbox for practitioners. https://sswm.info/index.php/es/humanitarian-crises/urban-setti ngs/hygiene-promotion-community-mobilisation/important/menstrualhygiene-management. Accessed 27 Apr 2021.
- International Centre for Diarrheal Diseases Research Bangladesh, WaterAid Bangladesh, Policy Support Unit. Bangladesh national hygiene baseline survey: Preliminary report. Policy Support Unit (PSU), Local Government Division, Ministry of Local Government, Rural Development and Cooperatives Dhaka, Bangladesh; 2014. https://www.psb.gov.bd/policies/ bnhbs.pdf.
- Islam MS, Quddus AHG, Foroushani AR. Mapping the barriers of receives affordable menstrual hygiene products and healthcare services at the rural setting of Bangladesh. Pak J Public Heal. 2018;7:202–5.
- Chandra-Mouli V, Patel SV. Mapping the knowledge and understanding of menarche, menstrual hygiene and menstrual health among adolescent girls in low- and middle-income countries. Reprod Health. 2017;14:1–16.
- Alam MU, Luby SP, Halder AK, Islam K, Opel A, Shoab AK, et al. Menstrual hygiene management among Bangladeshi adolescent schoolgirls and risk factors affecting school absence: Results from a cross-sectional survey. BMJ Open. 2017;7:1–10.
- Mondal BK, Ali M, Dewan T, Tasnim T. Practices and effects of menstrual hygiene management in rural Bangladesh. In: 40th WEDC International Conference. Loughborough, UK; 2017. https://repository.lboro.ac.uk/artic les/Practices\_and\_effects\_of\_menstrual\_hygiene\_management\_in\_ rural\_Bangladesh/9589331/1.
- Haque SE, Rahman M, Itsuko K, Mutahara M, Sakisaka K. The effect of a school-based educational intervention on menstrual health: an intervention study among adolescent girls in Bangladesh. BMJ Open. 2014;4:1–9.
- 13. Sommer M, Sahin M. Advancing the global agenda for menstrual hygiene management for schoolgirls. Am J Public Health. 2013;103:1556–9.
- Sommer M, Caruso BA, Sahin M, Calderon T, Cavill S, Mahon T, et al. A time for global action: addressing girls' menstrual hygiene management needs in schools. PLoS Med. 2016;13:1–9.
- 15. Ali TS, Rizvi SN. Menstrual knowledge and practices of female adolescents in urban Karachi. Pak J Adolesc. 2010;33:531–41.
- Budhathoki SS, Bhattachan M, Castro-Sánchez E, Sagtani RA, Rayamajhi RB, Rai P, et al. Menstrual hygiene management among women and adolescent girls in the aftermath of the earthquake in Nepal. BMC Womens Health. 2018;18:1–8.
- Raina D, Balodi G. Menstrual hygiene: Knowledge, practise and restrictions amongst girls of Dehradun, Uttarakhand. India Glob J Interdiscip Soc Sci. 2014;3:156–62.
- Van Eijk AM, Sivakami M, Thakkar MB, Bauman A, Laserson KF, Coates S, et al. Menstrual hygiene management among adolescent girls in India: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open. 2016;6.
- Thakre SB, Thakre SS, Reddy M, Rathi N, Pathak K, Ughade S. Menstrual hygiene: KNowledge and practice among adolescent school girls of Saoner, Nagpur District. J Clin Diagnostic Res. 2011;5:1027–33.

- Udayar SE, Kruthika K, Devi PV. Menstrual hygiene practices among adolescent girls residing in tribal and social welfare hostel in Andhra Pradesh: a community based study. Natl J Community Med. 2016;7:681–4.
- Shanbhag D, Shilpa R, D'souza, Josephine P, Singh J, Br G. Perceptions regarding menstruation and Practices during menstrual cycles among high school going adolescent girls in resource limited settings around Bangalore city, Karnataka, India. Int J Collab Res Intern Med Public Heal. 2012;4:1353.
- Patil V, Udgiri R. Menstrual hygienic practices among adolescent girls of rural North Karnataka region, India. Int J Community Med Public Heal. 2016;3:1872–6.
- Alam MZ, Sultan S. Knowledge and practice of menstrual regulation (MR) in Bangladesh: Patterns and determinants. J Popul Soc Stud [JPSS]. 2019;27:220–31. doi:https://doi.org/10.25133/JPSSv27n3.0014.
- Alam, MZ, Islam MS, Sultan S. Knowledge and practice of emergency contraception among currently-married women in Bangladesh: evidence from a national cross-sectional survey. J Popul Soc Stud [JPSS]. 2020;28:308–23. doi:https://doi.org/10.25133/JPSSv28n4.021.
- Sudeshna R, Dasgupta A. Determinants of menstrual hygiene among adolescent girls: A multivariate analysis. Natl J Community Med. 2012;3:294–301. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/264852554\_ Determinants\_Of\_Menstrual\_Hygiene\_Among\_Adolescent\_Girls\_A\_ Multivariate\_Analysis.
- Hakim A, Shaheen R, . M, Tak H. A cross sectional study on the knowledge, attitudes and practices towards menstrual cycle and its problems: a comparative study of government and non-government adolescent school girls. Int J Community Med Public Heal. 2017;4:973.
- Sarkar I, Dobe M, Dasgupta A, Basu R, Shahbabu B. Determinants of menstrual hygiene among school going adolescent girls in a rural area of West Bengal. J Fam Med Prim Care. 2017;6:583.
- Lahme AM, Stern R, Cooper D. Factors impacting on menstrual hygiene and their implications for health promotion. Glob Health Promot. 2018;25:54–62.
- Santina T, Wehbe N, Ziade FM, Nehme M. Assessment of Beliefs and Practices relating to menstrual hygiene of adolescent girls in Lebanon. Int J Heal Sci Res. 2013;3:75–88.
- Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics. Population and housing census 2011: National report, volume-1: Analytical report. Dhaka, Bangladesh: Statistics and Informatics Division (SID), Ministry of Planning, Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh; 2015. http://203.112.218.65:8008/WebTe stApplication/userfiles/Image/PopCenZilz2011/NRV-1Report2011.pdf.
- NS P. Accessibility of low cost sanitary napkin in rural and semi-urban community of Bangladesh. Shaheed Syed Nazrul Islam Med Coll J. 2018;3:133–7. https://drpurabi.net/resource/articles-on-different-medic al-journal/accessibility-of-low-cost-sanitary-napkin-in-rural-and-semiurban-community-of-bangladesh/.
- Varina Tjon A T. Menstrual hygiene: A neglected condition for the achievement of several Millennium Development Goals. 2007;:1–24. https://www.ircwash.org/sites/default/files/Tjon-A-Ten-2007-Menstrual. pdf.
- Ray S, Mishra SK, Roy AG, Das BM. Menstrual characteristics: a study of the adolescents of rural and urban West Bengal. India Ann Hum Biol. 2010;37:668–81.
- Dasgupta A, Sarkar M. Menstrual hygiene: how hygienic is the adolescent girl? Indian J Community Med. 2008;33:77.
- Tiwari H, Oza UN, Tiwari R. Knowledge, attitudes and beliefs about menarche of adolescent girls in Anand district. Gujarat East Mediterr Heal J. 2006;12:428–33.
- Singh MM, Devi R, Gupta SS. Awareness and health seeking behaviour of rural adolescent school girls on menstrual and reproductive health problems. Indian J Med Sci. 1999;53:439–43.
- Sharma S, Mehra D, Kohli C, Singh MM. Menstrual hygiene practices among adolescent girls in a resettlement colony of Delhi: a cross-sectional study. Int J Reprod Contraception Obstet Gynecol. 2017;6:1945.
- Kaur R, Kaur K, Kaur R. Menstrual hygiene, management, and waste disposal: practices and challenges faced by girls/women of developing countries. J Environ Public Health. 2018. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/ 1730964.
- Das P, Baker KK, Dutta A, Swain T, Sahoo S, Das BS, et al. Menstrual hygiene practices, WASH access and the risk of urogenital infection in women from Odisha. India PLoS One. 2015;10:1–16.

- Mudey AB, Kesharwani N, Mudey GA, Goyal RC. A Cross-sectional study on awareness regarding safe and hygienic practices amongst school going adolescent girls in rural area of Wardha District, India. Glob J Health Sci. 2010;2.
- Milton AH, Rahman H, Smith W, Shrestha R, Dear K. Water consumption patterns in rural Bangladesh: are we underestimating total arsenic load? J Water Health. 2006;4:431–6.
- Pugalenthi T, Senthil J, Jayakumar KK, Pandiammal C. Determinants of menstrual hygiene practice among unmarried women in India. Sch Res Libr Arch Appl Sci Res. 2013;5:137–45.
- Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics (BBS), UNICEF Bangladesh. Progotir Pathey Bangladesh: Multiple indicator cluster survey 2019: Survey findings report. Dhaka, Bangladesh: Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics (BBS); 2019. https://www.unicef.org/bangladesh/media/3281/file/Bangladesh 2019MICSReport\_English.pdf.
- 44. National Institute of Population Research and Training (NIPORT), ICF International. Bangladesh demographic and health survey 2017–18. Dhaka, Bangladesh, and Rockville, Maryland, USA: NIPORT, Mitra and Associates, and ICF International; 2020. https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR311/ FR311.pdf.

## **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

## Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

