CASE REPORT

BMC Women's Health





Anti-alpha-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4isoxazolepropionic acid receptor encephalitis developed after ovarian cancer cytoreduction surgery: a case report and literature review

Yue Huang^{1,2}, Muke Zhou³, Jing Zhou⁴, Bo Wu³, Xi Yang⁵, Wenjiao Min⁶ and Zhengyu Li^{1,2*}

Abstract

Background Anti-alpha-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid receptor (AMPAR) encephalitis, a rare subtype of autoimmune encephalitis (AE), is often found associated with tumors such as thymoma, lung cancer, ovarian tumors, and breast cancer, and the tumors were generally detected during the screening process after the encephalitis initiated. The tumor is considered a trigger of AE, but the mechanism remains unclear.

Case Presentation A 53-year-old woman presented short-term memory loss two days after the primary cytoreduction for high-grade serous ovarian cancer (HGSOC, FIGO stage IC3). Cell-based assay found AMPAR CluA2 IgG positive in both serum (1:3.2) and cerebrospinal fluid (1:32). Moreover, mild AMPAR GluA1 and strong GluA2 expressions were also found positive in the paraffin sections of ovarian tumor tissue, indicating the ovarian cytoreduction surgery might stimulate the release of receptor antigens into the circulation system. The patient's condition deteriorated within two weeks, developing consciousness and autonomic dysfunction, leading to ICU admission. With oral steroids, intravenous immunoglobulin, plasmapheresis, and rituximab treatment, the patient's consciousness markedly improved after three months.

Conclusion We presented the first case of anti-AMPAR encephalitis developed right after the primary cytoreduction of a patient with HGSOC and retrieved paraneoplastic anti-AMPAR encephalitis cases (n = 66). Gynecologists should pay attention to patients who develop cognitive dysfunction or psychiatric symptoms shortly after the ovarian tumor resection and always include AE in the differentiation diagnosis.

Keywords Anti-AMPAR encephalitis, Paraneoplastic syndrome, Ovarian cancer, Cytoreduction surgery, Case report

*Correspondence: Zhengyu Li Zhengyuli01@126.com ¹Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, West China Second University Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610041, People's Republic of China ²Key Laboratory of Birth Defects and Related Diseases of Women and Children (Sichuan University), Ministry of Education, Chengdu 610041, People's Republic of China ³Department of Neurology, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610041, People's Republic of China
⁴Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Chengdu Fifth People's Hospital, Chengdu 610041, People's Republic of China
⁵Department of Anesthesiology, West China Hospital, Sichuan university, Chengdu 610041, People's Republic of China
⁶Department of Psychosomatic Medicine, Sichuan Academy of Medical Science & Sichuan Provincial People's Hospital, Chengdu
610041, People's Republic of China



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicate dotterwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Background

Anti-alpha-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid receptor (AMPAR) encephalitis was first described and identified by Lai et al. in ten patients with limbic encephalitis (LE) in 2009 [1]. Most paraneoplastic anti-AMPAR encephalitis patients present acute or subacute onset of short-term memory loss and/or psychiatric symptoms, with the concurrent or recurring tumors (lung cancer, thymoma, breast cancer, etc.) commonly detected in the following screening process [2, 3]. Anti-AMPAR encephalitis associated with ovarian cancer is rare. Here, we report a patient who developed encephalitis symptoms right after the primary cytoreduction for high-grade serous ovarian cancer (HGSOC).

Case presentation

A 53-year-old woman with no previous history presented with short-term memory loss two days after a primary cytoreduction surgery (Ascites aspiration+surgical exploration+bilateral salpingo-oopherectomy+hysterectomy+omentectomy+para-aortic and pelvic lymph node dissection) for HGSOC (FIGO stage: IC). (Fig. 1) The anesthesia and surgical procedure went uncomplicated. No severe adverse event happened. The memory deficit did not affect the patient's daily activities, and no progression was detected, so she was discharged ten days after the operation. Two weeks later, she was admitted to the neurology department due to confusion, disorientation, speech dysfunction, agitation, and hallucination. The physical examination showed short-term memory deficits, disorientation, and count disturbance. Chest and abdomen CT scans revealed negative results except for signs of mild pneumonia. The brain MRI and Magnetic resonance spectroscopy imaging (MRSI) revealed hippocampus atrophy and diminished NAA/(Cho+Cr) ratio in the bilateral hippocampus region (right 0.25, left 0.1), suggesting sclerosis and neuron damage. (Fig. 2) Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) was notable for pleocytosis $(309 \times 10^{6} / \text{mL})$ and elevated protein (0.688 g/L). The paraneoplastic antibody panel for CSF and serum returned positive for AMPAR antibody (CSF IgG 1:32; serum IgG 1:3.2, cell-based assay, Fig. S1), as well as anti-TRDNER antibody (+) and anti-Recoverin antibody (+), and the anti-AMPAR encephalitis was diagnosed. Moreover, the paraffin sections of the patient's ovarian tumor demonstrated mild expression of AMPAR GluA1 and strong expression of GluA2. (Fig. 3) The patient was treated with Methylprednisolone sodium succinate (750 mg qd for seven days), intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) (15 g qd for five days), and acyclovir (0.5 g q8h for 15 days) for suspected limbic encephalitis and virus encephalitis.

A week after admission, the patient developed a vigil coma with ocular movement disorders, autonomic dysfunction of severe dysphoria, and epilepsy. The



Fig. 1 Ovarian pathology examination. Immunohistochemistry stain for (a) HE (40×), (b) HE (100×), (c) PAX8(100×), (d) WT1(100×), (e) p53(100×), and (f) Ki67 (100×), confirming that the histopathological type is high-grade serous ovarian cancer (HGSOC).



Fig. 2 (a) Brain magnetic resonance image (MRI) showed coronal T2-FLAIR image revealed bilateral hippocampus volume decreased and (b) Representative spectra (echo time, 135 milliseconds) of right hippocampus region showed diminished NAA/(Cho+Cr) ratio



Fig. 3 Paraffin sections of the patient's ovarian tumor showed mild expression of (a) GluA1 (1:50), and (b) strong expression of GluA2 (1:50)



Fig. 4 Timelines of clinical course and treatment of the patient with anti-AMPAR encephalitis associated with high grade serous ovarian cancer (HGSOC).

electroencephalography (EEG) was repeated twice without detecting epileptiform discharge.

Nine days later, she developed hypotension and respiratory distress, leading to tracheotomy and intubation. Over the next month, she started another IVIG three times, followed by three cycles of plasmapheresis. The clinical improvement was limited. An FDG-PET/CT scan was performed, showing no signs of tumor. She was then treated with three doses of rituximab, improving her consciousness. She could respond to some simple physical examinations when she was discharged to a rehabilitation unit three months after admission. (Fig. 4)

In a recent follow-up, the patient reported full recovery of her consciousness, speech, and movement abilities, with mild short-term memory loss remaining. She has completed three cycles of chemotherapy. Physical examination, CT scan, and tumor biomarkers found no tumor recurrence.

Discussion and conclusions

We retrieved previously reported cases and identified 66 cases of anti-AMPAR encephalitis associated with tumors [1, 4-15]. Among these cases, thymic tumors (n=30, median age 45 year, including 16 patients with thymoma, 13 patients with thymic carcinoma, and one patient with thymic carcinoid) were the most common, followed by lung cancer (n=17, median age 65 year, including 11 patients with small cell lung cancer, two patients with non-small cell lung cancer, and four patients with undefined lung cancer), ovarian tumors (n=8, median age 42 year, including two patients with ovarian adenocarcinoma and six patients with teratoma), breast cancer (n=7, median age 61 year), medullary thyroid cancer (n=1, age 69 year), melanoma (n=1, age 61 year), Ewing sarcoma (n=1, age 19 year), and seminoma (n=1, age36 year). The clinical manifestations varied among different tumor types. (Table 1)

AMPAR antibody titers in the serum or CSF were reported in 14 patients (Table 2). Notably, the CSF titer of several patients was negative; thus, acquiring paired serum and CSF samples of patients suspected of anti-AMPAR encephalitis is essential [16, 17]. The AMPAR antibody would decrease after immunotherapy [3, 18-20]. In our reported case, however, we did not obtain the patient's antibody titer after immunotherapy because her relatives refused lumbar puncture. Severe symptoms such as consciousness and autonomic dysfunction are thought to be related to higher titers of AE antibodies. However, from the previous cases, we observed some patients presenting mild symptoms but with high titers in serum and/or CSF and some patients being in fatal status but had relatively lower titers [17, 20-22]. We supposed that the inconsistency was due to the concurrent onconeural antibodies, and the tumor itself might also have an impact on the severity of symptoms.

For patients with paraneoplastic anti-AMPAR encephalitis, both immunotherapies and tumor treatment are warranted. Almost all patients (87.5%) diagnosed with anti-AMPAR encephalitis associated with ovarian tumors received second-line immunotherapy, exceeding other tumors. ICU admission was also more likely to be reported in patients with ovarian tumors (85.7%), indicating anti-AMPAR encephalitis associated with ovarian tumors could deteriorate rapidly, and patients are more likely to suffer from fatal situations such as severe autonomic dysfunction and consciousness dysfunction. Thus, the first-line immunotherapies did not bring significant effects (Table 1). Furthermore, we supposed that the limited clinical improvement was also due to the clinical course specificity of the patient. For autoimmune encephalitis patients treated in our hospital and in cases reported previously, we found that the course of the disease varied in patients; some had a course several years long [3, 4, 9, 23]. For patients with a long disease course, treatments such as IVIG and plasmapheresis could not improve their symptoms in the short phase. For this group of patients, a second-line agent was applied. Due to its low toxicity and direct effects on B cells, rituximab is more commonly prescribed as the second-line agent by clinicians to improve patients' outcomes. Moreover, some clinicians believe that adding second-line immunotherapy can also prevent the early relapse of AE [1]. However, there is no consensus for the treatment of autoimmune encephalitis except pediatric NMDAR encephalitis [24]. A multi-institutional observational study found that for patients who had no improvements within four weeks with first-line therapy, those who received second-line therapy had better outcomes than those who received either continued first-line treatment or discontinued therapy [25]. The result could be explained by the opinion that the second-line therapy should be initiated if patients do not respond to the first-line therapy within 4 weeks. Due to the mechanisms of rituximab and cyclophosphamide, the above result could be interpreted that for this group of patients, rituximab and/or cyclophosphamide should be applied as the first-line therapy [26]. A recent meta-analysis found that therapeutic apheresis alone or combined first-line therapy (corticosteroids and IVIG; corticosteroids, IVIG, and therapeutic apheresis) indicated good outcomes, providing evidence for firstline therapy selection. The study also concluded that timely initiation of second-line therapy was associated with better outcomes [27]. Since anti-AMPAR encephalitis patients associated with ovarian tumors were more likely to be in a fatal state, it is urgent to identify this patient group and initiate immunotherapy and vital support as early as possible.

We report the first case of anti-AMPAR encephalitis developed in an HGSOC patient after cytoreduction surgery. Cytoreduction surgery followed by platinum-based chemotherapy represents the standard treatment for epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC). Recently, new therapeutic strategies have proved to be effective. Adding bevacizumab to standard first-line platinum-based chemotherapy and to second-line therapy has demonstrated better survival outcomes in EOC patients. Although bevacizumab showed promising data in feasibility and safety as a neoadjuvant agent, it warrants further investigation in terms of administration timing considering the complications [28]. Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitors (PARP inhibitors) are emerging as the first-line maintenance treatment after platinum-based chemotherapy in newly diagnosed and as a maintenance treatment for relapse patients with BRCA mutated or HRD-positive

Table 1 Clinical characteristics, treatment, and outcomes in anti-AMPAR encephalitis patients with tumors

		Patients with thymic tumor (n=30) (%)	Patients with lung cancer (n = 17) (%)	Patients with ovarian tumor (n=8) (%)	Patients with breast cancer (n=7) (%)	Patients with other types of cancer (n = 4) (%)
Age (median ±	SEM, yr) (n)	45±3.2 (19)	65±2.3	42±8.9 (4)	61±4.0 (6)	46±11.5
Main enceph- alitis-related	Cognitive dysfunction	25 (83.3)	16 (94.1)	4/4 (100)	6/6 (100)	4 (100)
symptoms						
	Memory deficits	19 (63.3)	16 (94.1)	3/4 (75)	6/6 (100)	4 (100)
	Disorientation	4 (13.3)	4 (23.5)	1/4 (25)	1/6 (16.7)	0
	Psychiatric symptoms	22 (73.3)	11 (64.7)	4/4 (100)	5/6 (83.3)	1 (25)
	Anxiety	0	1 (5.9)	1/4 (25)	0	0
	Depressed mood	4 (13.3)	2 (11.8)	1/4 (25)	0	0
	Abnormal behavior	15 (50)	9 (52.9)	1/4 (25)	4/6 (66.7)	1 (25)
	Sleep issues	3 (10)	4 (23.5)	0	1/6 (16.7)	0
	Psychotic-related symptoms	8 (26.7)	4 (23.5)	4/4 (100)	3/6 (50)	1 (25)
	Catatonia	1 (3.3)	0	0	0	0
	Dementia	1 (3.3)	0	0	0	0
	Consciousness dysfunction	9 (30)	9 (52.9)	7 (87.5)	6/6 (100)	3 (75)
	Seizures	8 (26.7)	3 (17.6)	2/4 (50)	1/6 (16.7)	0
	Dyskinesia	5 (16.7)	2 (11.8)	2/4 (50)	1/6 (16.7)	0
	Ataxia	0	2 (11.8)	0	1/6 (16.7)	0
	Dystonia	2 (6.7)	0	0	0	0
	Myoclonus	1 (3.3)	0	0	0	0
	Weakness	2 (6.7)	0	1/4 (25)	1/6 (16.7)	0
	Involuntary movement	1 (3.3)	0	1/4 (25)	0	0
	Speech dysfunction	3 (10)	0	3/4 (60)	0	0
	Verbal reduction	2 (6.7)	0	2/4 (50)	0	0
	Aphasia	2 (6.7)	0	1/4 (25)	0	0
	Autonomic dysfunction	4 (13.3)	0	3/4 (75)	0	0
	Respiratory distress	4 (13.3)	0	1 (25)	0	0
	Others	6 (20)	2 (11.8)	0	0	1 (25)
	Sensory dysfunction symptoms	1 (3.3)	2 (11.8)	0	0	0
	Headache	2 (6.7)	1 (5.9)	0	0	0
	Fever	5 (16.7)	0	0	0	0
	Hyponatremia	0	2 (11.8)	0	0	1 (25)
Initial disease	Encephalitis	16 (53.3)	14 (82.4)	2 (25)	5 (71.4)	3 (75)
	Tumor	3 (10)	2 (11.8)	2 (25)	2 (28.6)	1 (25)
	NA	11 (36.7)	1 (5.9)	4 (50)	0	0
ICU admission		6 (20)	0	6/7 (85.7)	0	1 (25)
Treatment	Immunotherapy					
	First-line	11 (36.7)	13 (76.5)	1 (12.5)	7 (100)	4 (100)
	First-line + second-line	5 (16.7)	1 (5.9)	7 (87.5)	0	0
	Tumor treatment					
	Tumor removal	6 (20)	2 (11.8)	6 (75)	1 (14.3)	0
	Chemotherapy	0	8 (47.1)	2 (25)	1 (14.3)	1 (25)
	Tumor removal + chemotherapy	1 (3.3)	0	0	1 (14.3)	1 (25)
	Tumor removal + radiotherapy	3 (10)	0	0	0	0
	Tumor removal + chemothera- py + radiotherapy	1 (3.3)	0	0	2 (28.6)	1 (25)
	Chemotherapy + radiotherapy	0	4 (23.5)	0	0	0
	Symptomatic treatment	0	1 (5.9)	0	0	0
	NA	11 (36.7)	0	0	0	0

Table 1 (continued)

		Patients with thymic tumor (n=30) (%)	Patients with lung cancer (n=17) (%)	Patients with ovarian tumor (n=8) (%)	Patients with breast cancer (n=7) (%)	Patients with other types of cancer (n=4) (%)
Outcome	mRS ≤ 2	10 (33.3)	4 (23.5)	3 (37.5)	3 (42.9)	0
	Symptom partially improved	4 (13.3)	2 (11.8)	1 (12.5)	3 (42.9)	1 (25)
	Symptom not improved	0	3 (17.6)	0	0	3 (75)
	Relapse	4 (13.3)	0	0	0	0
	Dead	6 (20)	8 (47.1)	0	0	0
	NA	6 (20)	0	4 (50)	1 (14.3)	0

Table 2	Anti-AMPAR antibody titer, clinical manifestations, ICU admission, and outcomes in anti-AMPAR encephalitis patients with
tumors	

Case	Tumor type	Anti-AMPAR antibody titer		Clinical Manifestations	ICU	Outcome
		Serum	CSF	-	admission	
Ricken, 2021	Lung cancer	1:1600	1:32	Purely amnestic syndrome	Y	Died 3.5 mo after onset because of tumor progression
Yang, 2021	Lung cancer	+	1:10	short-term memory loss, abnormal psy- chological behaviors	NA	Died of respira- tory failure
Zhang, 2021	Lung cancer	1:10	-	Amnesia, confusion, psychiatric disturbances	NA	Improvement in mood disorders and psychosis die of tumor
Zhang, 2021	Lung cancer	1:10	-	Psychiatric disturbances and amnesia	Υ	mRS=0
Dogan Onugoren, 2015	Ovarian tumor	1:16000	NA	Memory deficits (multi-phase), psychosyndrome	NA	mRS=0
Presented case	Ovarian tumor	1:3.2	1:32	Cognitive dysfunction, consciousness and autonomic dysfunction	Y	mRS=0
Bataller, 2010	Breast cancer	NA	1:10	Confusion, hypersomnia, visual hallucina- tions, and combativeness	NA	mRS=0
LauridoSoto, 2019	Thymic tumor	1:256	1:256	Cognitive dysfunction, psychiatric symp- toms, dyskinesia, hypoventilation	Y	mRS=0
Yang, 2016	Thymic tumor	1:10	-	Paroxysmal paresthesia, seizure, con- sciousness dysfunction	NA	Died 2 months later
Zhang, 2021	Thymic tumor	1:100	-	Psychiatric disturbances, amnesia, confusion	NA	Died
Zhang, 2021	Thymic tumor	1:100	1:100	Amnesia, consciousness dysfunction, psychiatric disturbances, dyskinesia, right face and perioral numbness	NA	mRS=0
Luo, 2019	Thymic tumor	1:1000	1:32	Cognitive dysfunction, psychiatric symp- toms, autonomic dysfunction	NA	Mild long-time memory deficits
Safadi, 2020	Thymic tumor	NA	1:256 1:3.2(after immunotherapy)	Consciousness and autonomic dysfunction	Y	Significantly improved
Qiao, 2021	Thymic tumor	1:32 1:32(after immunotherapy)	1:3.2 1:1(after immunotherapy)	Cognitive dysfunction, consciousness dys- function, psychiatric symptoms, dyskinesia	Y	Remained un- able to identify his family mem- bers, and the aphasia persisted

Y, the patient had ICU admission; NA, data not available

settings [29]. Cytoreduction surgery refers to the curative treatment for peritoneal carcinoma. Its procedures include thorough surgical exploration and total omentectomy, with peritonectomy and organ(s) resection if suspecting carcinomas involvement [30]. Considering some patients' encephalitis initiation began after cytoreduction surgery, we suggest cytoreduction surgery might be a trigger for AE. The tumor is considered a trigger for AE, our case and others reported GluA1/GluA2 expressions on the tumor tissue [1]. Surgical procedure might make it easier for the antigens on tumor tissue enter into circulation system, inducing the AMPAR antibodies production and encephalitis initiation. However, it is still unclear whether cytoreduction surgery or even tumor resection is related to the initiation of AE [1, 15, 31].

Of the previously reported anti-AMPAR encephalitis associated with ovarian tumors, one patient developed cognitive deficits following the surgery for ovarian adenocarcinoma [6, 9, 32]. Notably, we identified three cases in which patients developed encephalitis symptoms only a few days after tumor resection: two patients with breast cancer and another with thymoma [14, 15, 33]. Generally, patients with paraneoplastic AE develop encephalitis before detecting concurrent tumors or suggesting recurrent tumors [34–39]. However, some patients developed acute or subacute encephalitis symptoms after tumor resection [13–15, 33, 40]. For this group of patients, symptoms such as short-term memory loss and behavior change would develop in the non-neurology department and are easily neglected. Patients were discharged and readmitted in several weeks in our case and previously reported ones. If the possibility of AE could be suspected earlier, the earlier immunotherapy initiation might improve their outcomes. As a result, clinicians should consider AE as a differentiation diagnosis when postoperative patients with tumors develop acute/subacute symptoms indicating multifocal brain inflammation.

Here, we present an approach to detecting probable AE patients in the gynecology department. (Fig. S2) Perioperative diseases (including pulmonary embolism, ischemic stroke, and electrocyte abnormalities), neurological diseases (including cranial hemorrhage, infectious encephalitis, Hashimoto encephalitis, and brain metastases related to ovarian cancer), and postoperative delirium and cognitive dysfunction should be considered as differential diagnosis [41–45].

Anti-AMPAR encephalitis is rare, with various acute or subacute onset symptoms, including cognitive deficits, psychosis, and decreased consciousness. Most patients can respond to immunotherapy. Some of them, however, might experience life-threatening occasions. As a result, early diagnosis and quick initiation of immunotherapy, and mature basic life support techniques are required. The disease might develop postoperatively if the tumor is a trigger of AE, and cytoreduction surgery might further stimulate the antigens into the circulation system; thus, surgeons should keep alarmed at patients' cognitive and consciousness conditions, especially those who undertake surgeries for breast cancer, thymoma, or ovarian cancer.

List of Abbreviations

AE Autoimmune encephalitis

```
AMPAR Anti-Alpha-Amino-3-Hydroxy-5-Methyl-4-Isoxazolepropionic acid receptor
```

```
CSF
Cerebrospinal fluid

HGSOC
High-grade serous ovarian cancer

IVIG
Intravenous immunoglobulin

MRSI
Magnetic resonance spectroscopy imaging
```

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12905-023-02636-1.

Supplementary Material 1

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Haoyan Liang (West China School of Medicine, Sichuan University) for data collection and Lin L from Stony Brook University for language editing. The authors thank Chengdu Heimeryunying Center for Clinical Laboratory for autoimmune antibody detection.

Authors' contributions

YH, WJM, and ZYL: Study design. JZ: Data extraction. YH, MKZ, JZ, XY, and BW: case analysis. YH, BW, XY, and ZYL: Diagnosis approach design. YH, MKZ, WJM, and ZYL: Manuscript writing and editing. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by The Science and technology project of the health planning committee of Sichuan (21PJ050).

Data Availability

Not applicable.

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Approval was granted by the Ethics Committee of West China Second University Hospital, Sichuan University (2022117).

Consent for publication

Written informed consent was obtained from the patient in accordance with the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki.

Received: 12 July 2023 / Accepted: 6 September 2023 Published online: 21 September 2023

References

- Lai M, Hughes EG, Peng X, et al. AMPA receptor antibodies in limbic encephalitis alter synaptic receptor location. Ann Neurol. 2009;65(4):424–34.
- Vogrig A, Muniz-Castrillo S, Desestret V, Joubert B, Honnorat J. Pathophysiology of paraneoplastic and autoimmune encephalitis: genes, infections, and checkpoint inhibitors. Ther Adv Neurol Disord. 2020;13:1756286420932797.
- Zhang TY, Cai MT, Zheng Y, et al. Anti-alpha-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid receptor encephalitis: a review. Front Immunol. 2021;12:652820.
- Boangher S, Mespouille P, Filip CM, Goffette S. Small-cell lung Cancer with positive Anti-NMDAR and Anti-AMPAR antibodies paraneoplastic limbic encephalitis. Case Rep Neurol Med. 2016;2016:3263718.
- Daneshmand A, Goyal G, Markovic S, Zekeridou A, Wijdicks EFM, Hocker SE. Autoimmune encephalitis secondary to Melanoma. Ann Intern Med. 2019;170(12):905–6.
- Dogan Onugoren M, Deuretzbacher D, Haensch CA, et al. Limbic encephalitis due to GABAB and AMPA receptor antibodies: a case series. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2015;86(9):965–72.

- Guasp M, Landa J, Martinez-Hernandez E, et al. Thymoma and Autoimmune Encephalitis: clinical manifestations and antibodies. Neurology(R) Neuroimmunol Neuroinflammation. 2021;8(5):e1053.
- Höftberger R, van Sonderen A, Leypoldt F, et al. Encephalitis and AMPA receptor antibodies: novel findings in a case series of 22 patients. Neurology. 2015;84(24):2403–12.
- Joubert B, Kerschen P, Zekeridou A, et al. Clinical spectrum of Encephalitis Associated with antibodies against the alpha-amino-3-Hydroxy-5-Methyl-4-Isoxazolepropionic acid receptor: Case Series and Review of the literature. JAMA Neurol. 2015;72(10):1163–9.
- Koh DLT, Teoh E, Lau KK. An uncommon presentation of a primary bone Tumor: Anti-AMPA (Anti-α-Amino-3-Hydroxy-5-Methyl-4-Isoxazolepropionic acid) receptor Limbic/Paraneoplastic encephalitis as a presenting feature of Ewing Sarcoma. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol. 2018;40(7):555–7.
- Kubota ATT, Narukawa S, et al. Anti-Ma2, anti-NMDA-receptor and anti-GluRe2 limbic encephalitis with testicular seminoma: short-term memory disturbance. Clin Neurol. 2012;52(9):666–71.
- Laurido-Soto O, Brier MR, Simon LE, McCullough A, Bucelli RC, Day GS. Patient characteristics and outcome associations in AMPA receptor encephalitis. J Neurol. 2019;266(2):450–60.
- Liu R, Dar AR, Tay KY, Nicolle MW, Inculet RI. Thymoma-associated Myasthenia Gravis in a Young Adult with Development of Paraneoplastic Limbic Encephalitis and systemic Lupus Erythematosus post-thymectomy: a Case Report. Cureus. 2018;10(11):e3581.
- Bataller LGR, García-Escrig M, Martínez B, Sevilla T, Blasco R, Vílchez JJ, Dalmau J. Reversible paraneoplastic limbic encephalitis associated with antibodies to the AMPA receptor. Neurology. 2010;74(3):265–7.
- Zhang Z, Fan S, Ren H, Zhou L, Guan H. Clinical characteristics and prognosis of anti-alpha-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid receptor encephalitis. BMC Neurol. 2021;21(1):490.
- Yang S, Qin J, Li J, et al. Rapidly progressive neurological deterioration in anti-AMPA receptor encephalitis with additional CRMP5 antibodies. Neurol Sciences: Official J Italian Neurol Soc Italian Soc Clin Neurophysiol. 2016;37(11):1853–5.
- Safadi AL, Wang T, Maria GD, et al. Recurrent Thymoma-Associated Paraneoplastic Encephalitis resulting from multiple antibodies: a Case Report. Neurohospitalist. 2020;10(2):139–42.
- Luo Y, Li J, Jiang F, et al. Autoimmune encephalitis with psychotic manifestations and cognitive impairment presenting as Schizophrenia: Case Report and Literature Review. Front Psychiatry. 2022;13:827138.
- Qiao S, Wu HK, Wang L, Zhang SC, Liu XW. Recurrent anti-AMPA receptor encephalitis associated with thymus cancer. Neurol Sciences: Official J Italian Neurol Soc Italian Soc Clin Neurophysiol. 2021;42(8):3457–61.
- Yang J, Du J, Zhao J, et al. Anti-α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4isoxazolepropionic acid receptor encephalitis: a case report. Medicine. 2021;100(17):e25694.
- Ricken G, Zrzavy T, Macher S, et al. Autoimmune global amnesia as Manifestation of AMPAR encephalitis and neuropathologic findings. Neurology(R) Neuroimmunol Neuroinflammation. 2021;8(4):e1019.
- Abboud H, Probasco JC, Irani S, et al. Autoimmune encephalitis: proposed best practice recommendations for diagnosis and acute management. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2021;92(7):757–68.
- 24. Nosadini M, Thomas T, Eyre M, et al. International Consensus Recommendations for the treatment of Pediatric NMDAR antibody encephalitis. Neurology(R) Neuroimmunol Neuroinflammation. 2021;8(5):e1052.
- 25. Titulaer MJ, McCracken L, Gabilondo I, et al. Treatment and prognostic factors for long-term outcome in patients with anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis: an observational cohort study. Lancet Neurol. 2013;12(2):157–65.

- 26. Linnoila JJ, Rosenfeld MR, Dalmau J. Neuronal surface antibody-mediated autoimmune encephalitis. Semin Neurol. 2014;34(4):458–66.
- Nosadini M, Eyre M, Molteni E, et al. Use and Safety of Immunotherapeutic Management of N-Methyl-d-Aspartate receptor antibody encephalitis: a Meta-analysis. JAMA Neurol. 2021;78(11):1333–44.
- Musella A, Vertechy L, Romito A, et al. Bevacizumab in Ovarian Cancer: state of the art and unanswered questions. Chemotherapy. 2017;62(2):111–20.
- 29. Giannini A, Di Dio C, Di Donato V, et al. PARP inhibitors in newly diagnosed and recurrent ovarian Cancer. Am J Clin Oncol. 2023;46(9):414–9.
- Arquillière JGO, Passot G. Cytoreductive surgery in peritoneal carcinomatosis. J Visc Surg. 2021;158(3):258–64.
- Dalmau J, Geis C, Graus F. Autoantibodies to synaptic receptors and neuronal cell surface proteins in Autoimmune Diseases of the Central Nervous System. Physiol Rev. 2017;97(2):839–87.
- Martinez-Hernandez E, Guasp M, Garcia-Serra A, et al. Clinical significance of anti-NMDAR concurrent with glial or neuronal surface antibodies. Neurology. 2020;94(22):e2302–10.
- Wei YC, Tseng JR, Wu CL, et al. Different FDG-PET metabolic patterns of anti-AMPAR and anti-NMDAR encephalitis: case report and literature review. Brain Behav. 2020;10(3):e01540.
- Chourasia N, Watkins MW, Lankford JE, Kass JS, Kamdar A. An infant born to a mother with Anti-N-Methyl-d-Aspartate receptor encephalitis. Pediatr Neurol. 2018;79:65–8.
- 35. Li S, Zhao A. A case of anti-NMDAR encephalitis induced by ovarian teratoma. Cell Biochem Biophys. 2015;71(2):1011–4.
- Liang Z, Yang S, Sun X, et al. Teratoma-associated anti-NMDAR encephalitis: two cases report and literature review. Med (Baltim). 2017;96(49):e9177.
- Maggio MC, Mastrangelo G, Skabar A, et al. Atypical presentation of anti-Nmethyl-D-aspartate receptor encephalitis: two case reports. J Med Case Rep. 2017;11(1):225.
- Omata T, Kodama K, Watanabe Y, et al. Ovarian teratoma development after anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis treatment. Brain Dev. 2017;39(5):448–51.
- Voice J, Ponterio JM, Lakhi N. Psychosis secondary to an incidental teratoma: a heads-up for psychiatrists and gynecologists. Arch Womens Ment Health. 2017;20(5):703–7.
- Omi T, Kinoshita M, Nishikawa A, et al. Clinical relapse of Anti-AMPAR Encephalitis Associated with recurrence of Thymoma. Intern Med. 2018;57(7):1011–3.
- 41. Sack RA, Kroener WF. Hypokalemia of various etiologies complicating elective surgical procedures. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1984;149(1):74–8.
- Delgado-Guay MO, Yennurajalingam S, Bruera E. Delirium with severe symptom expression related to hypercalcemia in a patient with advanced cancer: an interdisciplinary approach to treatment. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2008;36(4):442–9.
- Needham MJ, Webb CE, Bryden DC. Postoperative cognitive dysfunction and dementia: what we need to know and do. Br J Anaesth. 2017;119(suppl1):i115–25.
- Braude P, Partridge JS, Hardwick J, Shipway DJ, Dhesi JK. Geriatricians in perioperative medicine: developing subspecialty training. Br J Anaesth. 2016;116(1):4–6.
- Borella F, Bertero L, Morrone A et al. Brain metastases from ovarian Cancer: current evidence in diagnosis, treatment, and prognosis. Cancers (Basel) 2020;12(8).

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.